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The National Institute for Educational Policy Research (NIER) has held the “International Symposium on Educational Reform” co-sponsored with the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT) since 2001.

The society and the economy today are being greatly transformed by rapid development of information and science technology that lead to globalization of the world, and in order to correspond to such a situation in the society today, the educational reform is being advanced in Japan and all over the world.

This symposium was aimed, by inviting the specialists from various foreign countries, to learn from the experiences in overseas, and to make the best use of such knowledge and experiences for the practice of educational reform in Japan.

This was the fifth symposium, held under the theme of ‘Save the children from the risk of violence in schools – from the longitudinal surveys and international comparisons –’ on the 21st of February 2006, at International Conference Centre, Waseda University, Tokyo, Japan.

The National Institute of Educational Research (the NIER at the preset) was held the ‘International Symposium on the Problem of Bullying’ co-sponsored with the MEXT in 1996, and since then, we have been continuously researching on children’s problematic behaviours including the issue of bullying for the last six years. Since 2003, based on the findings of this longitudinal study on bullying, we have also started cross-national study with Canada, South Korea, and Australia, in all of which the issue of bullying is recognized rather recently. In this cross-national study, the nature and the extent of the bullying behaviour as well as the effects of interpersonal relationships among peers, within families, and between children and teachers on the aggressive behaviour of children were examined.

As the results of this cross-national study was finalized, the international symposium was planned aiming at having active discussions and debates on the issue of bullying in Japan: how administrations, teachers and parents, and academic researchers can do to save children from the risk of violence and other problematic behaviours, by examining the similarities and differences between Japan and other countries.

After the opening remarks, a keynote speech was given by Mr. Yukihiko Nunomura, the Deputy Director, Elementary and Secondary Education Bureau, the MEXT. In this keynote speech, Mr. Nunomura explained about the ‘Urgent appeal on the Bullying Problem’ announced in 1996 by the Minister of Education, the transition of the rate of bullying in Japan, and the basic policy for the problem of bullying and the concrete measures against bullying problems taken by schools, families, local communities, local educational boards, and the MEXT.

Following the keynote speech, the dialog session was conducted under the theme of ‘10 years of Education and Research on Bullying’, with Prof. Morita, Osaka Shoin Women’s University, and Mr. Taki, Senior Researcher, Guidance and Counselling Research Centre, NIER. In this dialogue, the actions and
trends in Europe to bullying problems, and the projects being conducted by OECD were discussed.

Following the dialog session, the results of the six years longitudinal study on bullying in Japan was reported by Mr. Taki, the senior researcher of NIER, followed by the report of the cross-national study with Australia, Canada, and South Korea, which was presented by Prof. Phillip Slee, Flinders University of South Australia, Prof. Shelley Hymel, British Columbia University of Canada, Dr. Hee-og Sim, Kunsan National University of South Korea, and Mr. Taki, the NIER. This report was presented under the theme of ‘cross-national comparisons of backgrounds and characteristics of bullying problem’, and the nature and the extent of bullying problems as well as the analysis of stressor of children in each country was reported.

After the break, the panel discussion was conducted under the theme of ‘save children from the risk of violence’ by Prof. Morita, Mr. Taki, Prof. Keumjoo Kwak, Seoul National University of South Korea, and Mr. Jyuugou Imaizumi, Deputy Director, Student Affairs Division, Elementary and Secondary Education Bureau, MEXT. During the panel discussion, the bullying situation in South Korea including enactment of school violence prevention law as well as development of intervention programs was presented by Prof. Kwak. Prof. Morita pointed out the importance of researching the discouraging conditions against bullying and of developing interventional measures on the basis of such discouraging factors. Prof. Morita also pointed out the needs and significance of strengthening of social bonds of children for successful prevention and intervention measures. Mr. Imaizumi, the Deputy Director, pointed out that the studies presented in the symposium proved the fact that bullying can occur in any school, in any class, in any child, and schools are in an advantageous position to look carefully at each individual child and that advantages should be best utilized.

In this symposium, about 200 people were participated including teachers, people from the local educational boards, and researchers.

This report is the transcription of the speech and the discussion of the symposium reproduced as accurately as possible based on the presentation paper of the symposium. We would be most grateful as an organizer if the findings presented in this symposium will be useful to everyone who wishes to save children from the risk of violence for their efforts to tackle the problematic behaviour of children in future.

Thank you very much.

March, 2006

Director General, the National Institute of Educational Policy Research
Shigenori Yano
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1. Opening Remarks

Shigenori Yano
Director General, NIER
Thank you. On behalf of the organizer I would like to say a few words. First of all, we appreciate this very large turnout for this International Symposium on Educational Reform 2006.

In order to address major changes in our society and economy, such as globalization and the emergence of IT, educational reform is being pursued in Japan and throughout the world. The National Institute for Educational Policy Research and MEXT have been hosting international symposia on educational reform under the objective of inviting leading experts in the field of educational reform around the world, and learning from their experience and reflecting those experiences in the implementation of educational reform.

In this fifth symposium, which we are having today, we have decided to address the topic of “Saving Children from the Risk of Violence in Schools.” The National Institute for Educational Policy Research and the Ministry of Culture and Education jointly hosted an international symposium on the problem of bullying back in 1996. Over the next six years, we carried out a follow-up survey, or what we call the longitudinal survey regarding problematic behaviour such as bullying, and from 2003 a cross-national study to prevent problematic behaviour has been carried out.

Today we have invited experts from Canada, Korea and Australia, where bullying has been recognized as a problem, and these countries have taken part in our cross-national study. Together with the Japanese experts, we would like to have a very lively discussion on the topic of “Saving Children from the Risk of Violence in Schools.”

I sincerely hope that the findings at today’s symposium will spread globally through all of you who have taken part in today’s program. And as an organizer, we would be most grateful if today’s symposium can contribute to your response and efforts against problematic behaviour, and hope that today’s symposium will be significant and meaningful. And with this, I would like to conclude my welcoming address. Thank you very much.
2. Keynote Speech

Yukihiro Nunomura
Deputy Director, (Elementary and Secondary Education Bureau)
MEXT, Japan
Keynote Speech

Yukihiro Nunomura
Deputy Director, (Elementary and Secondary Education Bureau)
MEXT, Japan

Good afternoon, everyone, and thank you very much indeed for attending today’s International symposium on Educational Reform, entitled “Saving Children from the Risk of Violence in Schools”. I would appreciate for your taking time in this very busy season towards the end of the fiscal year for this symposium.

I would also like to express our heartfelt respect and appreciation to everyone including school teachers for making every effort of guidance of students including bullying issues together with your daily effort for children’s healthy development.

Basic Policies of the MEXT on Bullying

As a keynote presentation, I would like to discuss the basic policies of the Ministry of Education on bullying, which is the main topic today. I have PowerPoint slides prepared and they are shown on the screen and they are also distributed to you as handout materials. Please refer to these slides as I speak.

First, on the issue of bullying, there is a basic position in the policy of MEXT. In 1996, the minister Okuda (the Minister of Education at time) made an urgent appeal on the bullying problem. This was an appeal to students, parents and schools. At that time, in junior high schools, there were many suicides as a result of bullying, and the minister himself directly sent a message to students and children.

In this appeal, the Minister claimed that bullying is a serious violation of human rights. Because of bullying, some students commit suicide or some are deeply scarred in their psychological health, and thus bullying is never condoned.

This appeal advocates that bullying is never to be condoned, and to be an onlooker and to reinforce bullying is also not permissible. When one is being bullied, one should not confine this to one’s self, but should consult with someone. Those were appeals to all children. To parents, the message is to look carefully at children and create an environment where children can speak to their parents, and to teach at home and make them sure that bullying is never to be condoned. And to school teachers, to aware that serious bullying can occur in any school, in any child, and to have a resolute position to protect the children who are being bullied. To the community, the community should not pretend not to notice and should work toward not condoning bullying.
**Current Status on Bullying**

As for the number of bullying incidents, 21,671 cases were reported to take place in academic year 2004. It declined from the previous year in 2003. The overall trend is, after the minister issued the appeal to prevent bullying in 1996, the amount of bullying decreased for eight consecutive years, and it turned to an increase in 2003, but declined again in 2004. In the last decade, more or less the trend has been declining. But bullying often takes place out of the earshot of teachers, and there are serious incidents involving juveniles that, on the surface, appear to be caused by bullying. Thus, we have to continue to pay attention to bullying problems.

Bullying is a grave problem as it can, in the worst cases, be life-threatening.

In the past, when the survey was started in 1986, what I am showing here is the result of a survey in 1985, though, there was a bullying incident in Nakano Ward in Tokyo. In this case, pupils set up a mock funeral for the victimized pupil for fun. In 1994, there was a suicide of a second-grader in junior high school, which seemed to have been caused by bullying. After this case in 1994, the amount of bullying has increased and the problem of bullying has become the grave issue. In 1995, the school counsellor system was introduced to enforce school counselling capabilities. In January 1996, as I have mentioned, an urgent appeal was issued by the Minister of Education. In July 1996, experts had gathered in the Council and a notice was issued. This notice is on comprehensive measures related to bullying problems, and was sent to the boards of education in prefectures from the Ministry of Education.
The Notice of July 1996 on Comprehensive Measures Related to Bullying Problems

This next slide shows the contents of the notice of July 1996. As the basic awareness of the bullying problem, the following points are shown. Number one, as for the position to be taken against bullying in any society, to bully weaker persons is never to be condoned as human beings and bullies are always on the wrong side. And to reinforce bullying and to be an onlooker of bullying are also not permissible. This is the firm policy of MEXT.

As for the suffering of bullying, psychological pain, trauma, absenteeism from school and psychosomatic symptoms may be caused. In the worst case, suicide may be attempted or committed, and there is a grave influence on the victimized students. These are acts that violate the human rights of the students, and these are behaviours that are never to be condoned as human beings. Teachers, parents and all adults who surround children have to take an unwavering position so that there will be no victims of bullying. And we should also prevent pupils and students from becoming either victimizers or onlookers of bullying.

As one of the countermeasures for above issues, what teachers can do includes the following. They should provide guidance with genuine sympathy and compassion, putting themselves in the shoes of children. Teachers should be sensitive to warning signals sent out by children, and they should also have the proper awareness that bullying can take place in their own schools, in their own classrooms.

Regarding measures to prevent bullying, all teachers should have the awareness that the problem of bullying is related to teachers’ perception of children and students and how teachers provide guidance.
Human rights education should properly be taught so that all children properly understand the importance of one’s self, as well as the importance of others. Through moral education, special activities, and through the entire school education, teachers should give good guidance on the importance and preciousness of life and how wonderful it is to live. School teachers are in daily contact with children in a similar way as parents, and school teachers are the ones who will be first to spot any changes. Teachers should therefore detect bullying in the early stage and should take appropriate measures to prevent bullying so as to ensure the safety of students.

On the other hand, within the households, since bullying is strongly relevant to family education, families should provide a deep sense of affection and mental support, and should be able to provide discipline based on trust. It is also important to have good conversation and contacts between parent and children. Families should also be responsible in teaching that bullying is never to be condoned.

This is something that should not happen, however, some children are suffered in households by child abuse or domestic violence. Domestic violence or child abuse can be a stressor for children, and such stress can be indirectly expressed in schools in the form of bullying. Schools and teachers, therefore, should take proper measures. If child abuse is suspected in households, there should be coordination with the relevant organizations for early detection and early interventions.

As for the community’s part, within families, schools and communities, all the relevant parties should play their roles and should be united in fulfilling their roles and be serious in countering the bullying problem. Therefore, not only parents and school teachers, but within the community, the adults in the community should not pretend not to notice bullying and work towards preventing and not allowing bullying.

資料3 いじめの問題に関する基本的認識

◆ 『いじめは人間として絶対に許されない』との強い認識に立つこと
◆ いじめられている子どもの立場に立った親身の指導を行うこと
◆ いじめの問題は、教師の児童生徒観や指導のあり方が問われる問題であること
◆ いじめは家庭教育のあり方に大きな関わりがあること
◆ 家庭、学校、地域社会など全ての関係者がそれぞれの役割を果たし、一体となって真剣に取り組むことが必要であること
Specific Countermeasures by Schools to Resolve Bullying Problems

Regarding the notice from the ministry on July 1996, the following is shown as a way of specific countermeasures taken by schools to resolve bullying problems.

First, the establishment of effective guidance system. Under the leadership of school principals, all teachers should have common awareness in dealing with bullying problems. Since the bullying often happens in classrooms within the same play groups, it sometimes difficult to distinguish from playing and mischief. Some teachers might think that students are being mischievous and as a result, response may be delayed. In order to resolve such a situation in schools, some possible countermeasures are mentioned in the notice. Firstly, to strengthen the guidance system and capability. Secondly, to conduct a regular questionnaire survey to pupils and students. Thirdly, through on-the-job training, enhancing the counselling mindset of teachers. Fourthly, to have good coordination with the school nurse, and to observe children form a multi-faceted perspective. Fifthly, to have close communication with parents. And finally, to have coordination and communication with different levels of schools, for example between elementary and junior high schools, and provide continuous guidance and sharing of information of students.

Next, regarding a fact-finding section in the notice, we have to make pupils and students sure that it is a correct thing to do to tell others if bullying is taking place. If bullying is spotted early on, in the great majority of cases, the bullying problem can be solved. But if the response is delayed, it can be escalated to a more serious problem. Also, telling a teacher about being victimized sometimes aggravates the situation and as a result, the student may lose trust in the teacher. This is one of the major problems in the schools. Schools should therefore have a common understanding that teachers should protect children, and that sense of determination should be communicated to children. For example, schools can show their anti-bullying attitudes to in and outside schools by making declaration of whole-school anti-bullying policy, or by establishing anti-bullying slogans in school events. What is important to solve the bullying problem is that schools as a whole should be unwavering in their position against bullying.

Next, regarding educational guidance to a child who is a bully, again, an unwavering attitude is important. Individual guidance may be provided separate from other pupils or students. But if bullying is not resolved even after teacher’s guidance or if a bullying becomes excessive, flexible measures should be taken, including suspension from school. There should also be coordination with police or a child consultation centre against vicious-natured bullying, including physical violence and blackmailing. At the same time, bullying children themselves may have some special circumstances in which they are under stress in the background. Therefore, not simply providing guidance, but are educational guidance and counselling of the bullying children also important. On the other hand, towards victimized children, the major principle is that teachers protect such children thoroughly. And from that point of view, if it is necessary, as an emergency relief measure, classes may be reassembled, or if bullying is excessive, a school transfer may be considered.

Victimizers and victimized and onlookers should all be prevented. In order to do so, the school as a whole should actively provide all children a proper guidance, human rights and moral education within their educational programs. As for some of the examples of effective approach by schools, there are pro-
programs that assist students in building interpersonal relations and have a sense of social interaction. There is school training for stress management, and there is a cap program that assists a student to be able to say No or Stop in the case of bullying. To help students and pupils to relax and have fun in schools, school events, such as cultural festivals may be organized, and situations may be created where students can have positive self-evaluation by having upper-class children take care of under-class children. These measures are implemented as exemplary measures by schools to relieve the stresses of students and thus to prevent bullying problems.

Countermeasures Taken by Family, Community and Boards of Education

Turning to coordination and cooperation between family and community, schools should establish policies and explain those policies to parents, and seek understanding and cooperation from parents. At the same time, schools should be sincere in responding to information that is provided to schools. Parents may go to teachers to consult about possible bullying of their own children, but it may not be perceived as bullying by teachers or it may be seen as a temporary interpersonal problem, and bullying may become prolonged or become more serious. Schools and teachers, when they receive information regarding bullying, should try to find the facts from pupils and parents, and understand what is actually happening.

In the notice of July 1996 from the ministry, in addition to those measures taken by schools, measures taken by family, community and boards of education were also shown. As for the family and community roles, I have already touched upon them so far. Now I would like to focus on measures to be taken by boards by education.
First, as a part of an administrative organization, boards of directors should provide support to families by holding lectures on child-rearing and providing necessary information to enhance family education capability. Counselling services may also be enhanced, especially for younger parents. In the case of family with child abuse problem, action should be taken by making networks and coordinate with other relevant organizations like child consultation centres.

As for support from boards of education to schools, in addition to daily guidance, assignment of teachers, teacher training, and suspension measures are included. School transfer measures are flexible measures that boards of education can use to support schools. In order to enhance the school guidance system, boards of education can establish a counselling office in the school or use volunteers, such as students or retired teachers, to provide guidance to students who become absenteeism from school as a result of bullying. It can also enhance coordination with outside counselling organizations. Currently, a large number of non-profit organizations are conducting various activities and it is important to coordinate with these NPOs. In cooperation with youth-related organizations, events and exchange activities can be organized where children with different age groups can intermingle and can have collective activities. These are opportunities where children can learn to be socially active.

### Measures and Policies of a National Government

Next, I would like to turn to the measures taken by the MEXT. As a national government, MEXT is taking the following measures in order to prevent bullying. Firstly, the MEXT focuses on the children’s healthy development of psychological health through moral education. Hands-on activity in nature is another measure that conducted as a model classroom activity. In order to complete a resolute guidance of student, maintenance of student guidance system, including suspension measures, is reconsidered. In or-
In order for thorough consultation of children who have some kind of problems, including victimization, educational counseling services are offered in schools. School counsellors are assigned to public primary and junior-high schools for children as well as for parents. Schools, families, local community and various other organizations should coordinate in order to take effective measures against bullying and other problematic behaviour. There is an activity by the national government to promote such coordinated, collaborative activities, where a support team is established by schools, boards of education and relevant organizations, and this is conducted as a model activity. For truant students including those who are absent from school as a result of bullying, and those who are suffering from school absenteeism, in order to provide support within and outside of schools, schooling-support-network activity is conducted by the ministry. This is to network family, school and relevant organizations to make them an effective network and to provide guidance and counsellors who visit the homes of the students who are withdrawn within their homes and who are absent from schools.

Next, in order to deal with what one can call bullying at home, child abuse, the ministry is conducting a survey and research activity in school. Given the revision of the child abuse prevention law, and the seriousness of the situation, examples of advanced child abuse prevention is disseminated nationwide, and at the same time, schools and boards of education made use of these cases of prevention and develop training models and programs to enhance their capabilities in preventing child abuse.
Closing

So far, I have discussed policies of the Ministry of Education. In this symposium, a result of the follow-up study or the longitudinal study, within the schools in the same community, over a six-year period will be presented, and it was indicated that bullying can take place in any school, in any classroom, in any child. Also, this study showed the causes of stress for children who could, in turn, causes bullying problems in schools. Furthermore, through international comparisons, characteristics of bullying in each country will be interestingly shown. Today, among all participants, I hope that you will compare notes of your daily effort and today’s results from this symposium, and that today’s results will be of some assistance to the daily activities to the participants.

I also hope that the results of today’s symposium will lead to healthy growth and development of children in each community, and there will be forward-looking or proactive efforts made to that end. Thank you very much.
3. Dialogue

Last Decade of Education and Research on Bullying Problem

Yohji Morita
Professor, Osaka Shoin Women’s University

Mitsuru Taki
Senior Researcher, Guidance and Counselling Research Centre, NIER
TAKI: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. My name is Taki. I am with NIER or the National Institute for Educational Policy Research. We have simultaneous translation services today and have been instructed to speak somewhat slower. I would like to follow these rules. Both Prof. Morita and myself happen to speak very fast; we actually enjoy the fast pace, however, for the sake of translation, we would like to be somewhat slow today.

Aims for The Dialog Session

TAKI: As was mentioned by Director-General Mr. Yano, ten years ago we held a symposium on the issue of bullying. This first international symposium ten years ago was quite significant. The major aim of this dialogue is, therefore, to discuss what has taken place in the last ten years. We decided who we should ask for this effort, and we have actually asked Prof. Morita for his support in carrying out such an attempt.

I’d like to introduce Prof. Morita’s background, if I may. He is most famous for being the leading authority in research related to bullying. Since 1984, 1985, he has actually announced the Four-tiered structural theory of bullying. He has also been very much involved in the study carried out by MEXT. Furthermore, he has been involved not just in school-related issues but he has also touched upon homeless issues and the issue of withdrawn. In other words, he has been very active in a very wide array of fields. He was the professor at Osaka City University and now he has moved on to Osaka Shoin Women’s University. As far as I understand, starting from April this year, he is going to be a rector and the president of this university, and so Prof. Morita’s time is going to be even more in demand.

Now, why have we asked Prof. Morita? As I’ve mentioned already, Prof. Morita has long-studied the issue of bullying, but he also served as the coordinator at the international symposium ten years ago. And at the same time, he was involved in various cross-national studies. Apparently, the NIER carried out the international comparative studies, but Prof. Morita has already conducted similar study 10 years ago, and in fact, our study is somehow developing the study done by Prof. Morita ten years ago. Therefore, in terms of international comparative studies, he is a leading expert. So, we believe that it would be the best to invite Prof. Morita to describe the background of the past activities. I’m afraid of such a brief introduc-
tion, but I’d like to invite Prof. Morita’s comments.

**MORITA:** Thank you very much for introducing me.

**TAKI:** Usually in such cases, we would have keynote speeches or keynote lectures, but this is such a very valuable opportunity, we decided to have a dialogue style today where I will ask direct questions to Prof. Morita on your behalf. If we talk in chronological order it’s very difficult to have a free discussion session. Perhaps I would be able to invite Prof. Morita to talk about some issues which I have never heard myself.

Now in the previous international symposium, what did we discuss? Well, before I look back on the past international symposium, perhaps I should begin with why we held the international symposium on bullying in the first place. What are some of the issues behind bullying here in Japan?

I think all of you are quite interested in the issue of bullying, though depending on your age, or on your area of interest, perhaps your knowledge would differ. After this session, we’ll be presenting the report of a voluminous result of the survey on bullying. You might think that the report is not sufficient, or perhaps we should have focused primarily on caring children.

But as a matter of fact, as was indicated in the keynote speech, as far as the treatment of victimized children is concerned, I believe progress has been made. Although it might not be perfect, we have seen some very reinforced efforts in terms of addressing the victimized children.

Although bullying itself has declined in number, I think we need to further reduce the number, but this has not been successful so far. So, the questions are, why we need research, and how we can effectively use the results of such research to reduce the instance and the occasions of bullying. With all these factors, we would appreciate Prof. Morita’s input.

### Bullying Situation in Japan in 1980s

**TAKI:** So without further adieu, if you could look back upon the catalyst for the previous international symposium. Was this back in 1984?

**MORITA:** Yes, I started studying bullying in 1984. As I am a sociologist, I had strong interest in the movement of the society, and it was an age when the society was changing greatly during 1970s to 1980s. Since then, there has been a big flow of ‘privatization’, and this privatization becomes a big undercurrent in our society.

Privatization is the movement or the flow of the society towards the direction of ‘Private’. In the opposite side, there is vertical governance where everything is decided and leads by the government and people just follow the rules. The society in the past somehow constructed in this way. Thus, the movement of privatization can be understood as a transition of the society from the public sector to the private sector.
The recent big topic of the privatization of postal services is one of the good examples of this movement. Not only privatization of such public services but is there also a tendency for people to think about themselves or their personal lives rather than big social or public issues. Within this movement or the flow of the society, I strongly felt that the sense of community, which individuals are supporting the society and forming the local communities, started to erode. And, I am strongly interested in how this erosion of sense of belonging to a community affects children who are supposed to form our society in future. So, I have tried to observe the situation surrounding our children by visiting schools and carrying out various studies.

As I was also asked to talk about more personal side of reason why I was interested in the phenomenon of bullying, I’d like to talk about it here. I have two children, and at that time, my children were in the sixth and the third grade of primary school. When I visited their school, the classroom was very much like a scene from a manufacturing plant or a factory. There were so many posters and graphs about children’s productivity like who had the “most left things behind” or who the “best home worker” is. Children are in the centre of this “factory”.

I’ve also seen exhibitions being broken, or some children actually threw stones at the home of their classmates. So, there was tremendous confusion in the school. However, the teachers were totally unaware. I had the sense that the so-called bullying and harassment were taking place in the dark side of the children’s world. However, the schools were not aware of this, and so, there was a huge gap between what the schools were aware of and what the children actually were. I felt that this gap had to be filled in. I then started researching bullying as one example of such erosion and the breakdown of the sense of community. So, this is what I began to have interest in the issue of bullying.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>International Movements on Bullying in 1980s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**TAKI:** I see. Well, the year 1984, as people who are interested in bullying may already know, was the time the Ministry of Education in Norway carried out the study on bullying in 1983. You started studying bullying at similar time, but as far as what you’re saying, your interest was totally separate from this?

**MORITA:** Yes, that’s the case. In fact, at that time, I did not know the study done by Olweus in Norway. I first knew the study when I first visited the United States in 1985. As I was interested in criminology, and Olweus is a criminologist, we had a few contacts there. In fact, I spent one day in Arizona and so did Olweus, and we actually passed each other by a day difference in Arizona. This is when I knew his name and, his publication, and this is when I first became aware that the issue of bullying was also addressed in Europe. However, as far as other countries were concerned, the issue of bullying was not so much interests for researchers. In fact, in terms of bullying research, Scandinavian countries such as Sweden and Norway were the central countries in Europe, and aside from these countries, Japan also began research into bullying. In other words, two leading countries of bullying research passed by each other in Arizona. Anyway, that was the first occasion when I realized that a similar type of study was being carried out in Europe.

**TAKI:** I see. Prof. Morita, you say that you’re a sociologist. In the case of Japan, bullying research is mostly done by sociologists, and actually I’m also an educational sociologist myself. But in the case of
Europe, we find that psychologists are the ones who are studying bullying.

**MORITA:** Yes, I think so. Developmental or probably educational psychology, but it is the psychologists anyway who are primarily studying bullying, and not so much sociologists. However, the centre of interest is, like Japan, not necessarily limited to mere peer relationships, for instance, girl A bully girl B or vice versa. But I think European experts were interested in various other matters, primarily the ethnic issue, which currently is one of the central social issues in Europe: the relationship between the developing and the developed countries, or the issue of immigrants and refugees. So, if you take part in international symposia, you find not just academic experts but there are also many members of the government. So, in terms of academic research, people from the psychological field were the central, however, it was taken as a wider social issue. They were interested in having greater consistency and integration within the community as a whole, and one aspect of that is happened to be the bullying issue.

**TAKI:** Yes, I agree, and I think this trend has become much more evident in the last ten years, hasn’t it?

### How We Should Understand The Problem of Bullying

**MORITA:** Well, in fact, here in Japan too, we have strong interests in the issue of discrimination. From about 1970s, we began to see the issue of discrimination against the Koreans living in Japan or against the indigenous people. So, here in Japan too, the issue of bullying was originally not treated as what we call now ‘bullying’, but they were seen as one of the issues of discrimination. However, back in 1984 to 1985, we had several tragic incidents related to bullying such as suicide case and violence and murder case, and as a result, the issue of bullying caught the public interests. Now in Japan, unfortunately the bullying issue began to spread in a very broad manner because this had a very serious impact, and at the same time, the sense of discrimination or the issue of discrimination was somehow forgotten.

However, from about 1985 or so, I was asked to provide lectures on the issue of bullying, may be for a couple of years, and during these periods, as it was the early 1990s when the issue of bullying caught public attention again and lead people to the second phase of bullying problem in Japan, over ten years, people who hosted various symposia and seminars on the issue of bullying, were actually those who were interested in the issue of discrimination and human rights. That is because the issue of bullying was considered not only the matter of aggressive behaviour of children, but in the background, it also entails the issue of human rights, and how we could make people aware of this issue of human rights was one of the key aims of our education. This became a major educational issue.

What is important is to take the issue of bullying with broader perspective. The question is how should we form our society? A group of children or the school can be taken as one small society, what we call miniature society, and the issue of bullying is strongly linked to this problem: How can we create better society for us and for our children. So, it’s not just because this is a behavioural issue that we should be concerned, but we must take bullying as an Educational issue that we really must consider how can we support our children so that they can be nurtured in a positive social environment, and this challenge has not yet been succeeded.
TAKI: I think Japan has comparatively been able to come so far in such a stance that Prof. Morita has just mentioned. Now in terms of Europe, as you pointed out, the issue of bullying was treated as merely a personal aggressive behaviour as it can be seen from the fact that they referred it as a “boy’s problem”. In other words, the issue of bullying was seen as mere aggressive behaviour primarily done by boys, and the question was always why this is happen and what sort of children do such a behaviour. I think, in Europe, for a very long time, this type of thinking had some impact on the way they addressed the issue of bullying. Perhaps there have been some changes in the last couple of years. How do you see the situation?

MORITA: Well, so did we in Japan. Probably mid-1980s, the central issues were the typical characteristics of bullies and victims, and the relationships between them. Many researchers hypothesized that there should be unique and specific attributes and personalities of bullies and victims. However, when you carried out your research, Mr. Taki, I think you found that there was no specific or unique characteristic of bullies or victims.

I totally agree with the results. The bullying issue isn’t just based on peer-to-peer relationships, but as Mr. Nunomura mentioned, I think the surrounding environment, in particular the onlookers, played a very major role. The four-tiered structural theory of a bullying group that I claimed is representative of this philosophy.

‘Bullying’ as a Research Category and Its Significance

MORITA: When I first began to tackle the issue of bullying, my fellow researchers criticized me. That’s because bullying could include assault, injury, blackmailing and embezzlement, and all these acts are violation of criminal law. In fact, most bullying cases covered by the mass media include these behaviours. So, when I started researching by using the concept of bullying, many people criticized that there is no need to establish a specific framework for bullying, and saying why not treat this as a part of a criminal act. However, I had stressed that bullying is a very important category on its own.

If you go to Europe, again, the focus is primarily on aggressive acts and acts of violence. And bullying is often treated as merely a part of such aggressive acts. However, from about the 1970s up until the 1980s, there was the third peak of problematic behaviour of juveniles in Japan, and we had seen the extreme nature of school violence. After that phase was over, bullying was carved out as a completely separate issue because they had some very specific characteristics.

One thing is that it wasn’t just the wounds that victims received from physical violence because the wounds from physical aggression could be overcome. However, as far as the emotional trauma is concerned, it lingers and it could even distort the personality of the victims. Furthermore, it could damage children who witness the incidents. Children could become very defensive and become very passive. Bullying can bring about such a significant impact and damage on children.

At the same time, bullying is, of course based partly on peer-to-peer relationships, but the external force or power to stop incident by people around bullies and victims are also very important factors. One more thing that I often heard at that time is the comment saying that it is a children’s problem, and adults should not get involved. That was the type of debate or discussion which the teachers had, and many peo-
ple advocated this.

However, I personally believed that bullying is not only the acts that lead to serious problem among children, but it also the phenomenon that cannot be prevent without the proper function of mutual control mechanisms among children.

Of course for the behaviour which violate the criminal code, I think adults should step in and even police or courts should be involved. These are all controls exercised by the society in a very official manner. However, bullying activities were originally prevented through mutual control mechanisms among children on a daily basis. This control mechanism is a very important part of a communal environment. However, this sense of belonging to the community has broken down, and that is why I think we, those of us in the educational field, must step in.

In other words, we have to create a method to ensure the sense of belonging to the community, and this is the way that we should address this bullying problem. Thus, I think we need the mentality that we're trying to nurture the future generation. So it's not so much peer-to-peer relationship, but we must consider the issue of bullying as being part of our society, and must think what we can do about it now.

We're not talking only about skills, interpersonal skills. We're talking about children being able to take part in the society, being able to rehabilitate in the society. What we meant by social nature is the abilities and the attributes of the children in that context.

TAKI: In the past time, the local communities or the children’s groups had a certain sense of norms and disciplines, and these norms and disciplines were handed down from generation to generation. However, under the movement of privatization, this has been lost. As a result, people started to see and solve the problem only at the superficial levels.

One of the typical examples of this was the story suggested by some researchers in the West. They said all we have to do to prevent bullying is to supervise the playground of the school where bullying is most often takes place. I was totally taken aback by such a foolish idea. I believe what we need is to reinforce the sense of belonging that has been eroded, and to reinforce what is being lost. In this sense, this is really a matter of education. In other words, we need to recreate this community and recreate the sense of belonging to a community.

**Superficial Measures and Fundamental Measures**

TAKI: As was mentioned in the keynote speech, some measures may be seen totally irrelevant from the bullying issue. Some of these measures may not have a direct and immediate impact on the problem of bullying. I think whether or not people understand the needs of these various measures have a key point.

MORITA: Of course, the measures in the earlier keynote speech included both emergency relief measures and measures of a long-term nature. In these measures, there were measures that aim to develop and nurture the sociality and humanity of children, and I think these are not irrelevant to the issues that we talked about so far, but instead, some of these are actually directly linked to that problem.
But in 1985 when I began to study on bullying, as Mr. Nunomura, the deputy director-general mentioned, the figure was at the peak, and this is the time when the MEXT started to gather statistics on bullying. In fact, there was a comment by the chairman of the Central Educational Council, and bullying cannot be overlooked. The usual role of the Central Educational Council is to discuss the curriculums, but the issue of bullying got public attention and the chairman commented that this is an important educational problem. This served as a trigger point.

That was the first stage when bullying was seen as a social problem in 1984-85. After that, statistically speaking, bullying seemed to decrease, but in the early 1990s, what I call 'the second phase of bullying problem' was arrived and the issue of bullying flared up once again. This was not because we remained idle in the meantime, but instead various measures were actually taken. But in comparison to the situation today, the society itself is not mature enough nor did we have a clear understanding of bullying. As a result, the small-scale or minor bullying was gradually decreasing, but more serious bullying persisted.

There is a tendency to emphasize techniques and skills to deal with the problem or may try to solve it at the personal level, just looking at the characteristics or personality of bullies and victims. Even by the measures at this level, the minor-scale bullying can be decreasing as a result of the efforts of teachers at school or local boards of education. However, the basic environment does not change easily. We have to do humanity-building of children, and we also have to change the social awareness. But those are longer-term efforts, and they were not yet fully successful in the early 1990s. When the children were still in the process of personality- or humanity-building, they don’t have a very strong sense of one’s self. When the children see others being bullied, they may just remain an onlooker, and serious or grave bullying still persisted. This was coined as the second phase of bullying problem in the early 1990s.

That is the historical development up until the 1990s. Then, there was an international symposium, and various policies and interventions against bullying or the policies by MEXT developed after the symposium can be taken as the reflection of the transition from superficial to the educational measures that aims to reach more-in-depth or more fundamental part of education.

TAKI: Yes, I totally agree. I think those who are participating in this kind of conference for the first time may feel frustrated, and think that more direct measures should be taken, for instance, schools should be monitored. In fact, I often heard such claims. I don’t deny the idea of such direct measures and they can be implemented if necessary, but that is not a panacea and we have to look at the problem at the fundamental levels. But we are often tempted to draw stereotypes of bullies and victims.

**Difference in Thoughts and Implement of Anti-Bullying Measures**

TAKI: In Western countries, I’m afraid, studies focusing on superficial measures still remain. Though, these days, there seem more discussions going on by national level appeals and efforts of various people, and bullying started to be understood and grasped in a broader perspectives.

MORITA: It makes no difference if I’m shifting away from bullying to school absenteeism. School absenteeism, in many cases, is caused by bullying. As Mr. Nunomura mentioned, children who are involved in juvenile delinquency may have been victimized at school or were abused at home, although a causal rela-
tionship may not be proven. All of these problems are intertwined, and we cannot separate bullying as an individual problem that is not related to school absenteeism or child abuse. But instead, we should have a broader perspective to think these issues.

As for school absenteeism, the national statistics count the number of children who absent from school more than 50 days per year in the past or more than 30 days per year today. This is the definition of school absenteeism, but the statistics does in fact not count all the children who are absenteeism. So, the MEXT is saying that we should take the matter of fact that any children have a risk of absenteeism. In other words, children who appeared in the statistics defined by more than 30 days or 50 days of being absent from school is only the tip of the iceberg, and what is hidden underneath is huge. I call this as gray zones.

This is the same for bullying. Grave or severe bullying often attracts attention, but these are only the tip of the iceberg, and there are many incidents undetected. Though, when we see the statistics international perspective, our country is doing well, as for other countries, discovering rate is about 20 to 30 percent, but in Japan, the rate is about 40 to 50 percent. Even when we asked children themselves, the figure is around 40 percent. So, we are doing well. But even so, there are 50 to 60 percent of incidents go undetected, and what are on the surface are the only phenomena that we are dealing with, but beneath that there are hidden problems.

In the case of school absenteeism, there are children who don’t feel like going to school, or who feel like avoiding school, or who feel reluctant to go to school, or who feel too tired to go to school, yet not true school absenteeism. For children who are absent from school more than 30 days, there is a various different systems and organizations trying to solve the problem. But who we, educationalists, should really look carefully at is those children hidden beneath that top category, the children in gray zones. We have to effectively control this base or remainder of the iceberg.

And the same applies to bullying. There are major incidents that can be considered tip-of-the-iceberg, but what we have to pay attention is those who hidden beneath that tip-of-the-iceberg. The children have to have a sense of participating in society and to have a sense of being a member of the community. These are the cores, and they should learn how we should respect others and others’ human rights. All of these issues should be covered by education. There are many issues and problems with which the education should grapple, and it is not enough to take actions only against those tip-of-the-iceberg problems. Of course actions against such major issues are one of the subjects, but it is also important to take action against those hidden problems.

This is the difference in attitudes to Education between Western countries and Japan. In the West, the techniques and skills or programs to deal with problems that can easily be seen are more emphasized and given priorities.

TAKI: Yes, as Prof. Morita just mentioned, we have been taking these issues quite well here in Japan. When I visit to foreign countries, I often explain to foreign researchers that it is common recognition for Japanese, as in 1996 the Minister of Education claimed, that we should think that there is no such stereotypes of bullies or victims. There are no special categories of children who become bullies or who become
bullied. But when I talk about it, many people got surprised and claimed that it is not true and there are specific children who get involved in bullying problem. So, I then ask what sort of children they are talking about, and they claimed that people who receive child abuse or are rose in poor families and learn criminal behaviour from people around them. They claimed that those children become bullies. But that is not true.

Children who socially withdrawn may often be school truants before, but school truancy is not necessarily equal to social withdrawal. Stereotyping is already shown to be wrong according to my survey, et cetera, in 1996, and it was also mentioned the symposium ten years ago.

The Causes of Bullying

MORITA: What I most concerned in the symposium ten years ago was the fact that there had been very biased views on the cause of bullying in our society. I think there was a preconception, a very skewed preconception.

TAKI: Yes, Entrance examination is typical of those, isn't it?

MORITA: The entrance examination war is one example, and some said in the past time, there was a bullying in the military, or some people think of the insularity minds of Japanese people. Furthermore, some mentioned homogeneity of our society which attacks what is different. But this can actually be seen in other countries. But some people have preconceived notions that these are the causes of bullying, and some extreme people even said that bullying happens only in Japan, and these people reporting their experiences in foreign countries. Some of these were even published for a book.

TAKI: Yes, I know, there isn't such a thing as bullying in overseas, is it?

MORITA: If you go back to the report of the past research you would know who the good researchers are. For example, some people said that bullying did not exist in Korea. But that is not true as we invited the experts from Korea today. Bullying is a worldwide problem. Poverty, war and hunger, are the social problems in some of the countries, but in other countries where these social problems do not exist, bullying is one of the major important problems. And because of such misconception, misguided or biased policies are implemented. For instance, if the entrance examination wars are the major cause, then, we should eliminate all entrance examinations and so on. That is not correct. We should not be extreme in interpreting the phenomenon and we should not be extreme in implementing policies.

First of all, we should have the common awareness that bullying can take place in any society as there are some common elements in bullying.

According to the definition of bullying by myself and by other researchers, there is a power relationship between bullies and victims. If there are two people, then there are differences in terms of intellectual level, personality, or capability to control reward and punishment, or may be the difference in their gender or social class. In any case, these differences in power relationships are often called asymmetry. Symmetry is where you have a balance, but bullying is taking place when asymmetrical or unbalanced
power relationships are found and misused. This is the essential component of bullying. MEXT also says that there is a power relationship of one who is superior and one who is subordinated.

○いじめの定義 The Definition of Ijime

森田洋司の定義 Definition by Yohji Morita

「同一集団内の相互作用過程において優位に立つ一方が、意識的にあるいは集合的に他方にたいして精神的・身体的苦痛を与えること」（森田 1985）

'A type of aggressive behaviour by (which) someone who holds a dominant position in a group-interaction process, by intentional or collective acts, causes mental and/or physical suffering to another inside a group.' (Morita 1985)

These power relationships can be misused in any place, in any society, and human beings may be tempted to misuse such difference of authority or difference of power and capability, and this can happen to anyone. There may even be bullying with good intentions, for example in an athletic club or in a club of certain activities. Because of competitiveness, someone who is lagging behind may be aggressively guided. And this is also unintentional, but bullying, not from a vicious intent but from a benevolent intent.

In this sense, bullying can really take place in anywhere, but at the same time, it is often hidden and difficult for people to realize or understand very well. We have to enhance this awareness. We also have to use education. It’s very important. Not only schools, but family education and community education are important because bullying can take place anywhere and because it can happen to anyone. Police, public organizations, and administrative organizations, should not be the only entities to be involved in bullying. They are implementers of emergency relief measures, but the ultimate solution should come from children themselves. Therefore, we should place trust in children’s capability and should nurture the children’s capability to solve.

TAKI: In Japanese and probably in other languages too, when you say power relationship, people often think about physical strength. Those who are larger in physique bully children who are smaller. But that is not necessarily true. There are cases that small children manipulate and use other children to bully, and these cases are more difficult to spot by adults.
MORITA: Well, actually teachers like us might even bully students. For example, I have the power to control students’ marks and grades at university. I can throw my own frustrations to my students by giving them an impossibly large amount of homework, for instance. This is clearly a case of bullying by the teachers against students. Now what about the students? They say, “Oh, Prof. Morita is probably too busy. Although he says we should read ten books, he himself probably won’t read ten books. I can ask him questions.” But then I have the ability to approve the student’s credit or not. So that’s the assumption under which I provide instructions to the students. But the students have the right to direct questions to their teachers. So therefore the students can bully teachers by asking frequent questions.

So, when we talk about balance of power, it doesn’t necessarily mean physical strength or weakness, but I think there is a so-called power resource. We have to identify the resource or the source of power, and what type of power resource would allow the party to have precedence. You may feel that students are in the weaker position to teachers, however, the students have their resources to gain power over their teachers. So, depending on how one uses potential power, the symmetry in power could be changed. So that is why I am saying that it does not depend on the attribute and the characteristic of one individual. It could happen anywhere, and even in any classroom. It’s not so much peer-to-peer relationship; it’s a matter of which particular children have which particular power resources in a given setting, and how does that particular child utilize the power resources available to him or herself.

TAKI: I think we have to instruct the children to utilize their power resources in an appropriate fashion, and if they are abusing their power, I think we have to provide them with appropriate guidelines. What we are saying today as ‘sociality’ should include these awareness, and that’s why just taking superficial measures is not enough.

The Aim of The International Symposium in 1996

MORITA: That’s right. So going back to what we talked about in the previous international symposium. We talked about this awareness. In Europe there have been various tests and techniques that were being studied and developed. In particular, Norway was a leading country in bullying back in the 1980s, and Japan was also one of the forefront countries in terms of bullying in 1985. So in one sense, Japan could be characterized as being an advanced nation or developed country in terms of bullying. I don’t mean in the phenomenon of bullying but I mean in the context of the study behind bullying. Japan has started fairly early and was able to accumulate knowledge and experiences.

Now in the previous international symposium, I believe Prof. Smith of the UK and Prof. Olweus were also part of the symposium. They were the leading authorities in this field. Having seen various countries, they have also developed very unique programs which they developed in their own countries. Based on their presentations, we tried to draw conclusions on the sense as to what we could learn from them.

Furthermore, we talk about some unique characteristics of Japan, but then there are also commonalities behind the phenomenon of bullying. So therefore, we need to extrapolate and identify the common elements behind bullying, and at the same time, of course we have to identify the characteristics which are unique to Japan. We must include and reflect all of these in our response. So that was the background of our previous international symposium. And the cross-national studies which took place subsequently.
were also based on the same assumption. We covered various countries, Norway, the UK, Netherlands, Japan and the United States. These countries took part in the cross-national study after the international symposium.

TAKI: With that as a catalyst I think we began to develop the awareness that bullying is not unique to Japan, although there are some elements that are unique to Japan. And also since the first international symposium we have began to be aware the needs for full-fledged study and that were the reason for carrying out the subsequent study. I’m afraid our time is fast running out. We have covered so many issues.

Global Trend on The Problem of Bullying

MORITA: I think one major global trend we see today is that there is a movement of exchanging academic information. This started from year 2000, the International Network of School Bullying and Violence. This was supported by the European Commission, the EU, and the French Ministry of Education, and this is a global institution. Prof. Slee, I understand, is a party to this. The first meeting took place the headquarters of UNESCO in Paris, and the second meeting was held in Quebec, Canada. And I believe this year it is going to be held in Bordeaux, France. So there are accumulations of three meetings.

They involve not just academics, but also people in the government as well. I was one of the keynote speakers in the first program, and I was quite surprised as there are people from Europe, the US, North America, South America, and Africa, and some 2,500 members took part in this program. I believe this reflects the very strong interest among people around the world. And I believe this is also the case that it is a very serious issue to be tackled by the government administrators. So it was a very interesting finding, and this international exchange has become a major trend. Carrying out international comparative studies and new ideas has begun to emerge, and academicians are now exchanging these new ideas on a global scale.
Also, starting from this year, the OECD has launched or will be launching a new project. The OECD has called upon various researchers and policy-makers from various countries to introduce and to discuss the phenomenon and the status of bullying in their respective countries.

International Network on
School Bullying and Violence

- The aim of the Network is to stimulate and support more
effective measures against school bullying and violence.

- The international network is part of the 2005-6 OECD
Programme of Work.
This shows the structure of the network, and you will find that Europe is one of the central players, and Norway served as the secretariat in the initial phase.

### The structure of the network

- Strategic management undertaken by an international steering group. This will include the OECD Secretariat, Norway, national co-ordinators from 2-3 participant countries (elected by all participant countries). UNESCO, the Council of Europe and the European Union

- An international co-ordinator based in Norway
- National co-ordinators in participating countries

### The Norwegian Ministry of Education and Research

The Norwegian Ministry of Education and Research is:

- Responsible for Norway's policy and strategy towards the international network
- Providing resources for these activities to one full-time international co-ordinator and a modest additional budget for expenses
- Member of the Steering Group
And various national coordinators became involved. They would convey the status of their respective countries, and they are actually posting that information on their website. The address is indicated on the PowerPoint, so if you're interested please refer to this website. Prof. Taki and myself have supported this initiative, and we received this award from Bunkyo Kyoukai, and a Japanese version of this site is going to be launched at Osaka Shoin Women's University website, and we are going to be developing a database there.

There will be information about research, the current status, and the measures that are taken and so forth, and this database could be used to exchange information. Now this database will be updated periodically. I would assume there are various other countries who will be taking part. The OECD primarily has membership among the developed world, however, this number is going to be increasing. And so this is the website, and the OECD website is indicated on this PowerPoint, and I do hope that this will be of reference to you. Although it is not yet completed, that is because we began to look for information since October last year and some parts of the website are still under construction. But we will be trying to enhance this website and I do hope that this can be a source of information for you. So this international network is expanding.

TAKI: I'm afraid we have focused so much on the past. I wanted to focus on the decade from this point onwards. Well, Dr. Taki?

The Significance of Discussing Bullying Now

MORITA: Why are we discussing this bullying issue in the first place? First of all, the social capacity or sociality of children is undeveloped, and there are so many problems. The social capacity or sociality
doesn’t mean just peer-to-peer skills, but it’s a matter of how much capacity does the person have in creating the community. It’s not so much being dependent on the government’s instructions, and the private sector should not leave things in the hands of government. That is no longer the society we have today, and all of us must be independent in this society today. And at the same time, we must balance and adjust and coordinate the different interests among the individual citizens. In other words, our own desire and others’ demand must be balanced. So perhaps what I would call citizenship has to be nurtured, and I believe this is one major challenge for the Japanese society going forward.

So, one major objective for my participating in this symposium today is to convey this message. We need this mentality. And our actions toward bullying must bear this perspective in mind. I do hope that this issue could be communicated. Of course resolving directly the bullying issue is quite important, but that is not the end objective of this symposium. We have a much larger picture on hand. We must be concerned about resolving the social issue for Japan going forward, the social challenge for Japan going forward. And that is the background behind this symposium.

TAKI: Thank you very much. I was supposed to provide the wrap-up comment but I think you have done so in a very sufficient manner. Ten years ago when we held the first international symposium, it was an eye-opening exercise, but since then we have seen various developments. And in Europe, there is now a trend away from psychology to sociology. People in social studies and people in the government are taking an interest in the bullying issue. So perhaps the courses that we followed in different countries are different. However, we now see greater commonalities as bullying is a global issue, and I think there is room for Japan to voice its views.

So conveying these issues is very difficult to do, especially in the English language, because I think there’s still the sense that we should just eliminate the tip of the iceberg, but that is not the case. The sense of belonging to a community, I think the Japanese people have had certain know-how and skills and this could actually benefit the global community. So when we talk about the cross-national study in the next session, I think Japanese ideas could be reflected.

MORITA: If I could add, yes, knowledge and experiences from the past is important. However it does not mean that we are going to revert to the past community, the past society. That is not the case. Individuals must become self-dependent. They must become independent. But at the same time, they must exercise their own uniqueness, so people who are unique, belonging to one community, but able to balance their differing interests. This I believe constitutes citizenship.

TAKI: In that sense, there are things that we should learn from overseas.

MORITA: Clearly, the Japanese society is changing and the children are changing alongside the changing society. And in the context of the change, what kind of community as a society can be creating for the future is a major theme.

TAKI: Thank you very much, Prof. Morita.

MORITA: I’m afraid I have taken your microphone away, Mr. Taki. I apologize. Perhaps maybe we’ve
just gone overboard.

TAKI: Yes, I think it’s because we are able to communicate so well. Well, Prof. Morita will be taking part in the panel discussion at the very end of today’s program. I’m sure that he will be able to provide us with further comments at that juncture. So with this we would like to conclude the talk session. Thank you very much.

MORITA: Thank you very much.
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TAKI: We will now go on to the presentation session on the topic “The Characteristics and Background of the Bullying Problem: Cases in 4 Countries.” Now we have about one hour and 50 minutes for this session. It’s a very long session, and you will find that there are many graphs contained in the reference material. So I think our job here is to explain the meaning of these graphs as easy and enjoyable manner as possible for you. Maybe that’s a very difficult task to do, but we would like to be as efficient as possible, and hope to explain some of the major points behind this cross-national study including the reason why we need these longitudinal and cross-national studies.

In the first 30 minutes or so, we would like to talk about the follow-up study or the longitudinal study in Japan and then expand our session to cover cross-national comparisons.

First Part: On the Longitudinal Study for 6 Years in Japan

Research History of The NIER for Last 10 Years

TAKI: In the previous session, we talked about having an international symposium some ten years ago. As I’m a researcher myself, I started researching on bullying in 1985, which followed by the study in 1986 and 1987, in a way by following the study done by Prof. Morita in 1984. My research interest at that time was primarily academic. It was an interest in my capacity as a researcher. Then, when I transferred myself to the National Institute for Educational Policy Research (former National Institute for Educational Research), the international symposium on bullying was just held.
In this symposium, I was amazed by the fact that researchers in overseas’ countries were interested in measures to alleviate bullying or even considered how to nurture children. This was a striking finding for me. I did not realize that the study of bullying could be expanded to that scope. I had come to realize that we should carry out research not just on the bullying itself in an academic fashion, but we should also go as far as considering possible solutions.

From that point I began to develop the so-called ‘P.E.A.C.E method’, a prevention program against bullying. This program was based on the ‘P.E.C.A.E Pack’ developed by Prof. Slee, and was modified in the way to be more applicable in the situation in Japan. We also talked about the needs to nurture social capacity of children, and we’re thinking of sociality of children as not just about social skills, but also the sense of belonging to the society. A peer support program was developed with a reflection of such a view among the children, and at the same time, to identify the background why these problems occur in the first place, we developed the stress checklist.

While addressing all of these issues in this manner, we are focusing on not only interventional measures but also on the process in which how we should approach to the problem of bullying and other problematic behaviours. Today, I would like to cover the follow-up survey for six years in Japan for your reference.

### 6-Year-Follow-Up Study and Its Aims

**TAKI**: This covers from 1998 to 2003, and we carried out the survey twice a year. Usually, this kinds of survey on bullying takes place only once a year, and the MEXT already carries out survey into the occur-
rence of bullying, and so there is so much data relevant to this. However, we wanted to explore further to identify why these longitudinal surveys or follow-up surveys are required. I have decided to carry out this six-year-longitudinal-survey twice a year for children from grade 4 to grade 9, which are all the way from primary school up until lower secondary school, to examine whether there is any change in children during these six years. In 1998, we carried out our first survey for children from grade 4 to grade 9. This is done twice a year, in June and November. So we carried out the total of 12 surveys in a span of six years.

In the course of the study, we were able to aggregate information about primary school kids in 1998, or we can aggregate and accumulate information about lower secondary school kids. However, if the numbers are what we are interested in, all we have to do is carry out a survey once a year, but what we wanted to do was as follows: we wanted to follow what happens to fourth graders when they move on to, let’s say, seventh grade. This follow-up survey allows us to identify the change or the transition of their behaviour and in order to identify whether or not the bullying issue is a unique issue to one particular child or one type of children, we need this longitudinal study. We can follow many years, maybe four years even. As a national educational research institute, we can do something that ordinary universities can’t cover. We felt that we could carry out a survey which was quite meaningful.

Now, based on this data, what we want to make clear to the audience is the fact that serious bullying can happen to any school, any class and any child. This was the urgent appeal made by the Minister of Education in 1996. I personally believe this is a remarkable appeal, and this is something applicable not just to Japan but also throughout the world. Unfortunately, though, it’s very difficult for people to understand the significance of this appeal. As was mentioned by Prof. Morita, carrying out a cross-national study allows us to aware that bullying is not unique to Japan. However, as there are also some unique
characteristics to bullying in different countries, the appeal that bullying can happen to any child was not readily accepted by people in Western countries. I was somewhat frustrated by that, and I really wanted to carry out a survey to validate this appeal. Since I moved on to NIER, I decided to carry out this type of follow-up survey in a full-fledged manner.

Experiences of Victimization Among Primary Children

TAKI: At the first survey, this is the rate of the experience of victimization of children from grade 4 to grade 6. We found that the percentage is roughly flat over the years. What this means is that although people might think bullying is not really happen this year or is happening very often, if you take a look at all the 12 schools in a given area, bullying always takes place at somewhere, and the frequency is roughly the same. In other words, it’s a matter of our awareness to think whether or not bullying is taking place, because in reality, it is always happening at sometime and somewhere.
I should note that the study done by MEXT examines the frequency of the occurrence of bullying in each school. In contrast, our study asked questions to individual children whether or not he/she has been bullied, for instance. Therefore, our survey at NIER and the MEXT survey are totally different in terms of actual figures revealed by the study as well as of the method of the study and of the statistical analysis. However, even so, it is quite obvious that there are no major changes in the frequency of occurrence of bullying over the years. The numbers seem fairly the same.

This particular graph shows the rate of victimization of girls from grade 4 to grade 6 in primary school, but again, all these years seem quite identical.
Experiences of Victimizing Others Among Primary Children

TAKE: Now, this is the rate of the experiences of victimizing other children. This graph shows the rate of boys in grade 4. Depending on the year, there are some increases and decreases, but it appears, the rate is fairly stable over the years. This is the graph of girls in primary school, and this is the boys in lower-secondary schools, and the girls in lower-secondary schools.
So, over six years, you will find that the numbers are roughly the same. We see neither a substantial decrease nor increase, and thus, it seems that bullying could happen in any schools and in any classes. Of course it’s a very delicate matter to say whether or not it is really occurring or there is a potential to occur, but I think we need to be prepared for the fact that there is always a risk for bullying to happen. We should not believe that just because a group of children appears to be getting on well with each other, there’s not going to be any bullying. We don’t know what could trigger the bullying. As I have shown you a dozen of graphs, I think it’s very clear to everyone that bullying can happen at any school and in any class.

But some people may ask mean questions. I’d like you to look at this 8.9 percent pointed by an arrow.
Some people think that this 8.9 percent of children are the ring leaders, and what we should focus is to guide these children. This 8.9 percent is rather slight numbers, and when we calculate by classes, it includes about maybe two or three children per class. Then, there are always a few problematic children in any class. So, some teachers may think bullying is a problem limited to those problematic children, and as long as you pay enough attention to those children, bullying may be resolved. That is a misconception but is seen in many teachers. In other words, there’s a risk for us to incorrectly think that any schools can have a bullying problem, but the children who actually conduct the bullying is always the same.

Recurrence Rate of High Frequency Bullying

TAKI: In other to clarify such misconceptions, we need to conduct this longitudinal study. This shows the statistics of children who receive bullying at high frequency, that is more than once a week. The question is whether they are always the victims. If we look at six years at once, there is a transition of schools from primary school to lower-secondary school, and this may things little too complicated. So, I’d like to start with looking at grade 4 to grade 6, three years in primary school. If a particular child always conducts bullying, then this child would be doing bullying in grade 4, as well as in grade 5 and grade 6. Thus, if we examine every year, this child should always be in a statistics. In other words, it can be hypothesized that if we ask this problematic child if he/she is bullying others, the child should always respond ‘Yes’ to the question, as far as we assume there is a particular child who conducts bullying.

However, there are only 0.4 percent of children who responded that they bully others for all 6 surveys. Again, when we calculate by classes, 0.4 percent out of 40 students in a classroom will be less than one child per class, or we should even consider not by class but by year group or by school. In fact, most of the children are included those 19.7 percent which indicates children who bully others only once, once in three years. Thus, as we have seen in the previous graphs, although the figures are fairly stable, the bullying is done by different children over the years.
However, you may still think that this is the figure limited to those who bully with high frequency, once or twice a week. In other words, you may think even for those with high frequency rate, they may bully a lot at one time, and may not bully another time. Then, we should look at the figures without considering the frequency. In other words, whether or not they have any experience of bullying others. The result is this. Children who respond to bully others in all survey points, 6 out of 6, is 13.9 percent, and then there are fairly equally divided for those who bully 5 out of 6 survey points, and 4 out of 6, 3 out of 6, 2 out of 6 and 1 out of 6 survey points. Again, you may think that this 13.9 percent of children who responded to bully others 6 out of 6 survey points are the regular bullies, and this may also lead to an idea that there is a particular children who regularly bully other children. However, I'd like you to focus those 13.2 percent of children. These children are the one who had no experience of being victimized at all for 3 years. In other words, when we ignore the frequency rate, more than 85 percent of children had at least one experience of being victimized.
This means that it is not enough to pay attention to a particular child or a particular group of children, but most children get bullied at least once, or for some children, may be twice or three times. When we look at the rate for the lower-secondary school, although the frequency rate of bullying itself is smaller than those of primary school, the trend is the same. Again, there are very few children who continuously experienced high frequency bullying. When we look at the rate of experience without considering the frequencies, about 75 percent of children had at least one bullying experience during 3 years in lower-secondary school.

As for those who bully others, the figures are the same for both in primary school and in lower-secondary school.

Recurrence rate of victimization during 3 years in lower secondary school: 6 surveys (boys and girls)

Recurrence rate of victimizing during 3 years in primary school: 6 surveys (boys and girls)
Therefore, it is quite obvious that there is not a particular child. If there is a particular child, the figures should look differently. These statistics clearly show that anyone can become a bully and can become victims. There may be some conspicuous characteristics of typical bullies or typical victims, but if we start to pay attention only to those children, we cannot stop bullying.

Finally, I’d like to show you the figures for 6 years from primary to lower-secondary periods including 12 survey points. Regarding the experience of being victimized, it’s ranging from 0.1 to 0.3 percent. This means that more than 90 percent of the children responded to have at least one experience of being victimized during 6 year survey period. As for those who bully others, the figures clearly show that high frequency bullying is not continuously conducted. Twelve out of 12 survey points is 0 percent, 11 out of 12 is also 0 percent, and then 9 out of 12 is 0.1 percent. Thus, the cases that there is a seemingly problematic children and bullying is mostly done by those children are really rare. You may probably think that although the number is small, there are 0.1 percent of such children, and what we should do about them. Of course we have to provide educational guidance to those children, but what I’m saying is that only focusing on such children does not eliminate bullying in school at all. You may be able to stop one case of bullying, but by identifying a specific child who is always a bully, we cannot solve the problem of bullying as a whole.
Well, the next question may be what we have done over six years. I’d like to make sure that the point
made by the Minister of Education that bullying could happen in any school, in any class, in any child, is
very much appropriate. However, because this is a sentence with such a good sound harmony, you may
just agree with the appeal without taking any notice on the real meaning of it. According to these figures,
however, it is quite clear that this minister’s words have truth. Although we successfully managed to de-
crease the number of bullying from the peak, there has not been much difference for last 5 or 6 years.
Therefore, I feel that this message of “any child” is not yet taken into consideration seriously enough,
and as for the actions against bullying, we may still be trying to identify a particular child who appears to con-
duct bullying behaviours.
What is necessary then? From the results of this study, we can at least say that identifying special children and giving guidance to them is not really an effective way of reducing bullying problem. I mean, that is not the right approach. Instead, as we discussed in the previous session, all children should become a good constituent member of the community who is an independent and cooperative to other member of the community. Children should not be self-centered or ignorant to others, or having no interpersonal relationships with others. If bullying is only for the problem of special children, then all we have to do is to focus and improve the environment that make these children problematic. However, what we have to do is, as Prof. Morita pointed out, to focus every single child with wider perspectives, and to enhance their sociality or social capacity.

Then what is sociality? Well, there is one more thing that we should take into consideration. The question is why ordinary children get involved in the bullying situation no matter either as bullies or victims. Then, what we have to realize here is the fact that if there are no bullies, there will not be victims either. Therefore, what we should focus is why children become bullies.

In the four-tiered structural theory of bullying, Prof. Morita pointed out the very important remarks that there are not only victims and aggressors, but also reinforcers and onlookers. Those who categorized as reinforcers are particularly need attention as they are easily become bullies, or even without self-awareness, these children may already play a role of bullies. In other words, these children may be categorized as reinforcer at one point, but at another point, they may become bullies.

Then, the next question come to my mind is what sort of children are reinforcing bullies and think that it’s fun to bully others. In order to find an answer to these questions, I have made a stress theory. I am planning to share with you the data of this stress theory, but beforehand, I would like to explain why we had to survey the seventh graders.
The longitudinal survey that I just showed you has another objective. This graph may be difficult to understand, but this shows the figures for the fourth graders in 2001, and this is the figure for the fourth graders in 2000. What I’m trying to show you is how children in a particular grade are changing during the survey period. From these figures, even the year is different, there is a similar trend. I often hear teachers saying we have good children this year or children this year is not so good compared to children in last year, but in fact, although there may be some fluctuation individually, the major trends are similar for every years.

The reason why ordinary children bully others or are victimized should be considered with situational or contextual factors. The causality with ascribed factor like social background and temperament should be dismissed.

※stress hypothesis
Why Children Become Bullies

TAKI: As I am pointing out, what we should focus is children who bully others, and how these children become bullies. In this perspective, the seventh grade appears to be the key grade, as children move on to new environment, they may get too much stress with them. Thus, it seems fairly reasonable to target the seventh graders to examine the background reason why children become bullies.

First of all, I’d like to make clear that it is inappropriate to try to explain the background causes of bullying to family socioeconomic status or educational levels of parents, or in what community they live or whether they own houses or not, all of which are often seen in the explanation by researchers in Western
countries. The reason why I disagree with such social attribution theory is the fact that parents’ educational level does not change over the years, nor does families’ socioeconomic status. If these factors could change over the years, it is possible to be one of the causes, but in reality, these factors are fixed, and it is rather difficult to explain this phenomenon with such fixed social attributes as bullying role is, on the other hand, changing over the years.

Stress Which Causes Bullying

**TAKI:** Then, when we consider the factors of children which change depending on the situation or the environment, it seems that bullying is related more with stress. This is the path-analysis of children’s stress and its related factors. On the right-hand side, the purple area, which labelled as ‘Symptoms of Stress’ indicates the measures of stress of children. And, the orange area above the purple area indicates whether or not children take aggressive actions. As stressors, there are study related, teachers related, peers related, and family related factors. Regarding how these factors were selected, you can find a list of questions used in the questionnaires at the back of your reference materials. You can have a look at these questions later in your spare time, what I mean by ‘stressor’ is that maybe children are troubled by study, or troubled with peers, or may be troubled with teachers. All these factors could be the cause of stress of children. Furthermore, stress may usually be alleviated or be aggravated depending on individual’s attitudes and the availability of social support. There is competitive attitude at the top, and there are social support from peers, families, and teachers at the bottom, right-hand side corner. This social support indicates whether parents understand a child or a teacher listening to a child seriously when troubled, or peers who are encouraging. All these factors are indication of availability of social support for children in the difficult times.

When we use these factors to conduct path-analysis, there is, for example, an effect of competitiveness attitudes on the stressors related to study, or this competitiveness could have direct impact on stress itself. Furthermore, this stress from study is also reflected in the relationship with teachers, peers and families. So this is how the graph would look like then. It could also be the case that unhealthy relationships with teachers and peers could lead children to bullying activities. And also, if you have a good relationship with the family, then various different stresses would be alleviated. It’s somewhat a little complicated, well, let’s take the example of a teacher. The stress from teachers may be something like this, for example, teachers sometimes get mad without asking the reason for the behaviour of the child. Now, if the relationship with teachers is going well, then the children don’t think the teacher is getting angry without asking reasons whatsoever. Although the teacher didn’t actually ask children why they behave in such a way, the children will probably understand that the teacher is dares to be angry after understanding the feelings of them, and therefore, the stress of children will be alleviated. So, even experiencing the same situation, one child may understand as the teacher is angry because he/she is thinking about him/her, and another child may understand as the teacher is unfairly angry with him/her. You can find the same thing with peers and families.
Thus, whether or not some of these factors directly lead to bullying or to stresses can be identified based on this graph. As was mentioned in the keynote speech, there are things that teachers, schools and families can do to stop bullying, and indeed, establishing good relationships between children and families, children and teachers and children and schools will in the long perspective, alleviate children’s inclination toward bullying.

Now, having said all this, there is one cynical or ironical element. This is quite strange or peculiar, and is somewhat unique to Japan. If you have a good relationship with the family, then the relationship with the teacher, on the other hand, deteriorates. The same trend can be found with the peer relationships. For example, good relationship with peers leads to bad relationships with teachers. In the past, I was told that this is a counterculture. In other words, if the peer-to-peer relationship is very strong, then they develop an anti-teacher attitude, and if one member of a group of children got angry by teacher, your friends may actually aggravate the situation saying that, “Oh, the teacher is so bad.” Thus, there is a good social support for children but this social support ironically given a negative influence to relationships with teachers. Also, if you have strong family relationships, this actually translates into anti-teacher kind of attitudes. The mother may say, “Oh, my child is so good. Why doesn’t the teacher understand?” This very unique phenomenon is something that is not seen outside Japan.

Now, I’d like to take a look at the some data from girls. As you can see, it’s fairly similar to the data from boys. If you have competitive attitude, there is less stress towards teachers, and this may be because if you have the competitiveness, you have to work hard, and as a result, the stress from the teacher is lessened. This appears to me, reflections of the very Spartan type of relationship that we saw in Japan in the past time. You again find that personal relationships do alleviate stress and they offer social support, and if
you have a high level of stress, then there is greater inclination toward bullying. Family relationships and the relationship with teachers are again at odds, which is somewhat worrisome.

**The Risk Factors That Leads to Bullying**

**TAKI:** So far, I have tried to introduce to you the data relevant to Japan. The results over the last six years indicate that in order to prevent bullying, it will be effective to reduce the risk factors. The risk factors for bullying are the stressors found in children’s daily lives, and so we should try to reduce such risk factors for children. For example, teachers trying to soften their message to the children or trying to give a reason before scolding the children will lessen the stress of the children. Also, it’s necessary to develop intimate or strong relationships with children because such intimate relationships will work as social support to the children which in turn reduce the stress of the children.

Thus, you might find the keynote speech by the MEXT too obvious, or might think that there is nothing new. You might even felt that we’re simply preaching to the converted. But actually, these are very important messages which we need to bear in mind. Now one thing we must bear in mind is that there is a tendency for schools to blame families and for families to blame schools when something happens, and that has been the typical type of story line brought forward by the mass media. However, this has to be overcome.

I think we all should have the common senses that no matter whether you are at school or at home, what is bad is bad. In the past, mothers would actually tell their children off when the children were scolded at schools because there are common sense of good and bad between teachers and parents, but nowadays,
mothers would blame schools to tell their children off. This may be a part of privatization in which diversification of sense of values gets more salient. However, within such social context, schools and families must identify common values. In other words, bad behaviour must be interpreted as bad behaviour by both teachers and families, and likewise, positive behaviours should be encouraged by schools as well as by families. It is quite obvious that families and schools should not be in a confrontational relationship. That is one of the results which we were able to draw as a conclusion as a result of this six-year survey.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What should be done for reducing bullying</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>➞ いじめ防止には、児童生徒をいじめ加害に向かわせるリスク要因（ストレスサー等）を減らし、その抑制要因（社会的支援）を増やす働きかけを、すべての児童生徒を対象に行うことが有効</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>➞ For preventing bullying, it will be effective to reduce the risk factor (e.g. stressor) and to induce the protective factor (e.g. social support).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>➞ It will not be effective if support from family discord with support from teacher.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Second Part: Cross-National Comparative Study on Bullying**

**Longitudinal Survey for one and a half of year**

**TAKI:** When we have completed the six-year follow-up survey, we started wondering whether all these factors are unique to Japan. So, we have planned to develop this longitudinal study to carry out cross-national comparative study with Australia, South Korea, and Canada. We carried out a comparative longitudinal survey for one-and-a-half years. The methodology of this cross-national study was almost the same to the one carried out in Japan, though the survey period was limited to one and a half years, and the participants were limited to children in grade 5 and grade 7 in Japan, and those corresponding children in other countries. This was because there weren’t any school transitions from primary to secondary during the research period.
Now, why did we carry out this international comparison in the first place? In Japan, we were already able to validate that serious bullying can happen to any school, any class and any child. And I believe this is experience and knowledge that Japan should claim to the world. We needed to bring forward this message to the global community. In order to do so, we needed to identify first whether or not there are unique characteristics of the situation in Japan. If that is the case, then we might have to identify some original countermeasures. However, if there are commonalities in bullying across the countries, we might be able to develop some new ideas together. In that sense, we feel that this is a phase which we would have to overcome so that we can send the information to the world, and at the same time, we can correctly understand the situation in other countries.
Regarding the international comparisons of bullying, as we talked about it during the dialog session earlier, we've already carried out in 1998 and 1999. In this cross-national study, Prof. Morita acted as a leader of the research team, and I was also a member of the research team. When we analyzed the data from this comparative survey in 98-99, we noticed some differences between Japan and other Western countries. In Western countries, physical violence such as punching and kicking was the key characteristics of bullying whereas in Japan, the word “ijime” doesn’t necessarily mean physical violence. As was mentioned by Prof. Morita, “ijime” could includes physical force, verbal abuse, and some form of indirect means of aggression such as using the power of family status and so forth. So, bullying in Japan was somewhat very complicated as the physical strength doesn’t necessarily required. Bullying in Japan can be done even if one has very little physical strength. This is something that we understand and also our children understand.

I remember that we had an active debate regarding this issue with researchers in the West. From that experience, I have reached one conclusion that the term “bullying,” used in the Western countries could primarily have an image of physical violence which done primarily by boys. If this is the case, then it is understandable that we have different opinions and attitudes towards bullying behaviour and its prevention and interventions. This difference could even affect the rate of frequencies of occurrence of bullying in each country. If that’s the case, we cannot simply compare the data gathered in each country.

However, in fact, we don’t yet know whether this is just my personal opinion or is actually true. In other words, the difference found between Japan and the Western countries is just the result of the translation problems or there are actually differences in nature and the extent of bullying behaviour. What we did in the last comparative survey in 98-99 was to negotiate with our friends in Europe, primarily in Norway,
but Western scholars sometimes rejected our request because they think what we claimed were just special cases unique to Japan. So, that was rather hard for us to carry out fully satisfactory study. Furthermore, we found some significant differences from this study, but we actually not 100% sure if this was the true difference in nature of bullying or just the difference in terminology. In other words, although we translated the questionnaires very delicately in that study, at the end of the day, the term utilized in the questionnaire was “Did you bully others?” in Europe, “Did you do ijime to others?” in Japan. Then, the difference in the image that the word ‘bullying’ and ‘ijime’ has still remained.

So, in order to avoid this difference of images of the word, what we did in this particular comparative study this time was to take away the term “bullying” or “ijime” from the questionnaire. So, in an actual questionnaire, children were asked, for example, “Have you experienced mean and negative behaviour at the hands of other students?” At the end of this reference material, you will find some of the specific questions used in the questionnaire.

However, although we newly developed a questionnaire which avoids using the specific term such as ‘bullying’ or ‘ijime’, I personally feel that we did not create anything new. It’s a continuation of the bullying-related studies we carried out in the past. As we showed you the definition by Prof. Morita, we have had such a definite conception on the issue of bullying. However, as we needed to get over this language barrier, we developed the questionnaire without using the specific term, and at the same time, we tried to make the questionnaire that is easy to understand for children. Otherwise, how many data we gathered internationally, there will always be a language barrier, and the knowledge and experience of Japan will not be understood correctly by people in Western countries. So, that is the one special feature of this cross-national study.

There is another feature in this cross-national study that I have to explain. That is the fact that this is the longitudinal study. Unless we use longitudinal data, some scholars still stress that bullying is an issue limited to a specific children, and we wanted to alleviate this type of sentiment.
As we are going to show you so many graphs that look very similar, I won’t cover all the graphs. I think from this point onwards we will have a very rough and very speedy presentation of the graphs, and we’ll be inviting the other panellists to comment on after the relevant graphs are shown. Also, when I presented the longitudinal study in Japan earlier, we talked only about exclusion as it is one of the most typical type of bullying in Japan, but then in other countries, exclusion may not be a typical type of bullying, and so we will look at various different forms of bullying. We have data for bullies and victims for 6 different types of bullying, and so it’s a very voluminous work.

Now, let me explain how we should see the graphs. On the left-hand side you can find the data from primary school children, and on the right-hand side you can find the data from lower-secondary school children. And there are data from boys and girls, and the data from the first, second, and third survey points. You can find boys’ data on the left-hand side, and girls’ data from right-hand side. Therefore, you can find three graphs for primary boys, three graphs for primary girls, and three graphs for lower-secondary boys, and three graphs for lower-secondary girls.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experience of Victimization: Exclusion and Ignoring</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Now, I’d like to begin with the data in Japan. You will find similar trends from the figures in the longitudinal study reported earlier. We see some increase in grade 8, and some increase toward grade 5, but overall trend is very similar to the 6 year longitudinal study.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Let’s move on to Australia. Would you like to make some comments about the situation in Australia based on this graph? Oh, I totally forgot! My apologies. I have not introduced the speakers. I will cover each different country and then go back to Japan. We have Prof. Slee from Flinders University of South Australia, Australia. Thank you very much, Prof. Slee. Prof. Hee-og Sim, Associate Professor from South Korea, and Prof. Hymel from Canada. So can you introduce yourself and then make your comment? Prof. Slee.

**Phillip Slee: Australia**

SLEE: I should first apologize. I have no expertise in Japanese, unlike Mr. Taki who is fluent in many languages, I’m only fluent in Australian, so excuse that. I’d like to thank the organizers for the opportunity to be here today. It was a very kind invitation and it’s an honour to be able to spend a little bit of time with you today.

My background in this is as a teacher, so I was teacher-trained and worked in schools, and then I was working as a researcher at my university where I’m involved in teacher training. I first came to Japan at the invitation in 1996, and at that stage I met Prof. Morita and Mr. Taki. And at that point it became apparent that we had a great deal to learn from Japan and the initiatives that had already been undertaken, as Prof. Morita has talked about. So it was a very rich opportunity to learn and to take some of that learning back to Australia. And since then, there have been some very useful collaboration with Japan and Korea and Canada, and some very interesting learning, for me in particular around the issue of school bullying. Some of that Mr. Taki has already talked about. But that’s enough by way of an introduction for me I think. I could comment now on that first graph that Mr. Taki has presented.

From the Australian perspective, in the second graph, we can see that generally speaking, excluding and ignoring is higher in primary school years. And that the girls engage in a little more of this than the boys. So I’d like to stay with just that very broad comment at this stage and then hand that on. Thank you, Mr. Taki.
Hee-og Sim: Korea

Sim: Okay, my name is Hee-og Sim. I’m pleased to be here to talk about bullying and victimization which has been a worldwide phenomenon. So let me explain my screen. As you can see, more than 70 percent of students had no experience of victimization through excluding and ignoring. And grade 5 and grade 7 are quite similar in this screen.

Transition of victimization rate (exclusion / ignoring / rumors): 1.5 years 3 surveys (Australia)

Sim: uentes  {
Transition of victimization rate (exclusion / ignoring / rumors): 1.5 years 3 surveys (South Korea)
Shelley Hymel: Canada

HYMEL: I too would like to thank the organizers. I’m very honoured to be here as part of this initiative to represent Canada. Bullying and victimization are recognized as problems around the globe, but for Canada recognition of this as problem within our educational system has been more recent. And such recognition has been born of tragedy (as was the case in Japan) with educational initiatives launched in response to several untimely student deaths between 1997 and 2001. I am very grateful to be able to be here and learn from my colleagues who have studied it for so much longer than we have.

You’ll also notice in the graphs from Canada that the third time point of testing is not yet available. The schools had asked us to postpone testing for a year because of, again, several very well-publicized bullying incidents that happened in 2004. So we had to wait. So the last wave of dataset is coming any day now. But right now, for these data you can see that bullying and victimization in the form of excluding and ignoring others is reported at very similar rates across both boys and girls and across grade levels. It appears to be somewhat higher than that which we see in other countries.

Experience of Victimization: Teasing and Name-calling

Japan

TAKI: In Japan, regarding teasing and name-calling, among girls, it seems less frequent in comparison to exclusion, but more frequent among boys. But overall trend is fairly similar, and it seems that typical bullying in Japan is exclusion and teasing both of which is rather high.
Australia

**SLEE:** In Australia it’s a little different in the sense that for teasing and name-calling we seem to get more of that amongst girls, particularly amongst the younger girls.

Transition of victimization rate (teasing / name calling): 1.5 years 3 surveys (Australia)

---

Korea

**SIM:** More students from my country were more likely to be involved in victimization through teasing and calling names than by excluding and ignoring. Among elementary students, there was no significant difference between gender and also no significant difference compared to lower-secondary children.
Canada
HYMEL: In Canada we find that verbal as well as relational victimization are the most common forms reported, and again in this graph we see that reported rates of victimization by verbal teasing and name-calling are consistent across grade levels and across both boys and girls.

Transition of victimization rate (teasing / name calling): 1.5 years 3 surveys (South Korea)

Experience of Victimization: Pushing, Hitting, Kicking Jokingly

Japan
TAKI: Next, pushing, hitting, kicking jokingly. This can be understood as an intentional playful hitting.
The ratio is very low. Although during primary school, it is slightly high, in secondary school, this type of physical bullying is much lower, especially among girls. This may be common sense in Japan. As for other countries?

Australia
SLEE: At Taki’s initiative we have included hitting and kicking in a joking manner and we wouldn’t normally do that in an Australian context. So what we found was some new and interesting data as a result. So in Australia the figure is higher amongst the boys, especially the older boys in secondary school. So in secondary school it’s year 8 and a little older, so these students are about 12 to 13 years, so they engage in this behaviour a little more frequently than others do.

Transition of victimization rate (pushing / hitting / kicking jokingly): 1.5 years 3 surveys (Australia)
Korea

**SIM**: In Korea, jokingly pushing or hitting happened a lot, compared with the previous one. And males and females looked quite similar, and grade 5 and grade 7 also looked quite similar. This type of victimization is the most commonly-reported in Korea.

Transition of victimization rate (pushing / hitting / kicking jokingly): 1.5 years 3 surveys (South Korea)

Canada

**HYMEL**: In Canada, physical victimization in general is reported more by boys than by girls, and it tends to be somewhat higher especially among boys as they move from primary to secondary school. This is especially true when they describe this behaviour as something that’s “just joking”.

Transition of victimization rate (pushing / hitting / kicking jokingly): 1 year 2 surveys (Canada)
Experience of Victimization: Hitting and Kicking

Japan

TAKI: On the theme of pushing, hitting and kicking, it is not joking but more violent. In the case of elementary school, the ratio may be somewhat higher, and in secondary school, they do occur but at a lower rate, especially among girls. As for other countries?

Austria

SLEE: As talked about before, the general perception is that physical bullying is more frequent in some Western countries, so what we found in Australia was that there did tend to be a tendency for it to occur more frequently, particularly amongst the younger boys.
Presentation

Transition of victimization rate (pushing / hitting / kicking on purpose): 1.5 years 3 surveys (Australia)

Korea

SIM: Okay, in my country, hitting or pushing on purpose is quite low. Looking at the females, females have less experience than males in both elementary school and secondary schools.

Transition of victimization rate (pushing / hitting / kicking on purpose): 1.5 years 3 surveys (South Korea)

Canada

HYMEL: In Canada, as before with physical victimization that was only done jokingly, purposeful physical victimization is typically more frequently reported by boys than girls, and is especially low as girls move into the secondary school age group. Although the majority of students report no purposeful physical victimization, this remains the form that is of greatest concern to school administrators and teachers.
Experience of Victimization: Taking Money

Japan

TAKI: From now on, whether this can be included in the category of bullying depending on your image. This involves taking money, tearing notebooks, or stealing the pocket money. In an aggressive case, this may be extortion, rather than bullying, but this is the result of asking children if they get any of these behaviours. The ratio is low although we shouldn’t take it too easily, lower than other events.

Transition of victimization rate (taking / damaging properties): 1.5 years 3 surveys (Japan)

Austria

SLEE: - and in Australia it looks as though the figure is higher amongst the older boys, so their tendency
is to damage and remove and take others’ properties a little more than the younger children do.

Transition of victimization rate (taking / damaging properties): 1.5 years 3 surveys (Australia)

Korea

SIM: More than 75 per cent of students didn’t have this kind of victimization. The quota is similar to Japan in this type of victimization. Females look less involved in this kind of victimization too.

Transition of victimization rate (taking / damaging properties): 1.5 years 3 surveys (South Korea)

Canada

HYMEL: In Canada, victimization through theft and property damage seems to be fairly stable over time, but somewhat higher for boys than girls as they move towards the end of the elementary years.
Experience of Victimization: Using E-mail

Japan

TAKI: I think this is used as a survey item for the first time in Japan. There is new modus operandi of bullying but the ratio is very low. Among other items, it is the lowest, but in secondary school girls, bullying using emails or mobile text messaging may be starting to use as a way of bullying.

Transition of victimization rate (using computers / telephones): 1.5 years 3 surveys (Japan)

Austlaria

SLEE: In Australia, the incidence is very low, as you can see from the graph. There may be a slight tendency for it to be a little higher in secondary school where they typically have access more to texts and email facilities.
Korea
SIM: Yes, as you can see, not so many students were involved in this type of victimization. This is the least frequent victimization type in my country like other countries.

Canada
HYMEL: In Canada, we refer to this as “cyber-bullying” and it’s receiving increasing attention over the last three or four years. Although reported rates are typically low relative to other forms of bullying, they are consistent across ages and across both boys and girls. And in the last two or three years we’ve seen a slight increase as this becomes a popular mode for students.
Experience of Victimizing Others: Ignoring and Exclusion

TAKI: We looked at the victimization rate for six types of bullying in each country. Now we will look at the victimizing rate. As for the victimizing rate, there has to be an aggressor in order for victims to be there, and so I personally think the victimizing rate is more important. Basically, exclusion happens more in girls than boys. And in secondary school the ratio does not necessarily increase, but it does not decline either.

Transition of victimizing rate (exclusion / ignoring / rumors): 1.5 years 3 surveys (Japan)
Australia
SLEE: In Australia, similar to Japan, the rate is relatively stable, but it may be that there’s a little more of it amongst the older students in school, particularly the boys.

Korea
SIM: There are no big differences for victimization. Males from grade 7 will likely to be less involved in bullying through excluding and ignoring than grade 5.

Transition of victimizing rate (exclusion / ignoring / rumors): 1.5 years 3 surveys (Australia)

Transition of victimizing rate (exclusion / ignoring / rumors): 1.5 years 3 surveys (South Korea)
Canada

**HYMEL:** Bullying through exclusion and ignoring in Canada is something we refer to as relational or social bullying. It's fairly consistent across boys and girls, but in this graph you can see it appears somewhat higher in grade 7 than in grade 5.

Transition of victimizing rate (exclusion / ignoring / rumors): 1 year 2 surveys (Canada)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1st</th>
<th>2nd</th>
<th>3rd</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st N=127</td>
<td>2nd N=128</td>
<td>3rd N=125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st N=122</td>
<td>2nd N=122</td>
<td>3rd N=125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st N=47</td>
<td>2nd N=45</td>
<td>3rd N=43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Experience of Victimizing Others: Teasing and Name-calling |

**Japan**

**TAKI:** Regarding teasing and name-calling, the victimization rate was about the same across the board. It seems that bullying with this type happens more in boys. Maybe there are more mischievous boys who try to bully other children with words.
Australia
SLEE: And similar to Japan, it seems that it's a more common form of bullying amongst the boys, and perhaps the older boys engage in this behaviour a little more.

Korea
SIM: In Korea, this type of bullying happens more than bullying by excluding and ignoring. As you can see, among both boys and girls, the rate is higher for lower-secondary children.
Canada
HYMEL: Reports of verbal bullying in Canada are fairly stable across the year, but in this sample it seems to be reported most often by grade 7 boys, but I would need a larger sample to determine whether this is a consistent finding.

Japan
TAKI: Earlier, in Japan we saw a very small rate of victimization in pushing, hitting jokingly. We see that this occurs during high school and more so in boys.

Experience of Victimizing Others: Pushing, Hitting Jokingly.
Australia
SLEE: This was also, as was said before, a really interesting category that we hadn’t researched before, and in Australia it seems that engaging in the bullying in a joking fashion and just pretending that it’s only just fooling around or joking, is higher, particularly amongst the older boys. So that’s something that we’re going to have to have a more serious look at I think.

Korea
SIM: Yes, in Korea this is the most commonly reported type of bullying. Like victimization rate, they are almost the same across grade and gender.
Canada
HYMEL: Boys in Canada are much more likely to report physical bullying of others, and it seems especially true as they get older and especially if it’s just joking around.

Transition of victimizing rate (pushing / hitting / kicking jokingly): 1.5 years 3 surveys (South Korea)

Japan
TAKI: Now turning to pushing and hitting on purpose. I think there is a higher percentage among boys which is something we expected.
Australia
SLEE: - is similar to Australia but it’s interesting that perhaps it’s higher amongst the younger boys, so that’s something that we maybe need to look at more carefully.

Transition of victimizing rate (pushing / hitting / kicking on purpose): 1.5 years 3 surveys (Australia)

Korea
SIM: Yes, in Korea it looks quite low, but males from grade 5 bullied others by pushing or hitting on purpose more than others.
Canada

HYMEL: Boys in Canada are again reporting more purposeful, physical bullying of others than girls, and by grade 7 the reported rates for girls are extremely low.

Experience of Victimizing Others: Taking Money

Japan

TAKI: Yes, of course the victimization rate was very low, and the same applies to the victimizing rate in terms of taking and damaging property. In Japan, bullying and school violence are separated. I think there is a sense that you do not allow physical violence. In particular, the teachers cannot ignore physical
violence at school. However, in the case of teasing and exclusion, it’s very difficult to intervene for the teachers. But clearly the victimizing rate for taking or damaging property is low.

Transition of victimizing rate (taking / damaging properties): 1.5 years 3 surveys (Japan)

**Australia**

SLEE: It seems that bullying others by damaging property is a little higher in Australia and it’s a little higher as it appears amongst the older boys, so it seems that maybe the older boys are engaging in this behaviour.

Transition of victimizing rate (taking / damaging properties): 1.5 years 3 surveys (Australia)
**Korea**

SIM: Yes, in Korea this type of bullying has not happened much, but it appeared that mainly boys did this type of bullying a little more.

---

**Canada**

HYMEL: In Canada, students generally report very low rates relative to other forms of bullying through theft or property damage, and these rates are fairly consistent across genders and ages.

---

**Transition of victimizing rate (taking / damaging properties): 1 year 2 surveys (Canada)**

Transition of victimizing rate (taking / damaging properties): 1.5 years 3 surveys (South Korea)
Experience of Victimizing Others: Using E-mail

Japan

TAKI: Earlier we talked about access to computers and telephones. The rate is very low, however, there is a higher percentage of victimizing among the secondary schools. And also I think males tend to be the aggressors. Maybe the men are more apt to use PCs.

Transition of victimizing rate (using computers / telephones): 1.5 years 3 surveys (Japan)

Australia

SLEE: Perhaps. It’s similar in Australia as well. It seems that although it’s very low it does occur, perhaps a little more amongst the older children.

Transition of victimizing rate (using computers / telephones): 1.5 years 3 surveys (Australia)
Korea
SIM: In Korea, again, this is the least frequent type of bullying, fortunately, so far.

Transition of victimizing rate (using computers / telephones): 1.5 years 3 surveys (South Korea)

Canada
HYMEL: In Canada the reported rates of bullying others through the electronic media are slightly lower among girls than boys, but I would have to comment that in other samples and other schools, we have found occasionally that there are certain schools in which this is actually much higher. So rates may vary in terms of the community support or viability of doing it.

Transition of victimizing rate (using computers / telephones): 1 year 2 surveys (Canada)
**Significant Difference Between 4 Countries**

TAKI: Thank you for your patience. So it’s been quite a job taking a look at all of these data from comparative studies. I wish we could take more time to discuss in detail, but we just show you some basic differences among as well as some basic similarities among the countries here. So let me try to provide some wrap-up up until this point.

**The Least Frequent Type of Bullying**

TAKI: In terms of comparison among the four countries, we find some similarities, although there are differences in degrees. You might take comfort from the fact that the situation in Japan is better than other countries or you might be surprised by the differences. Some of the major similarities are as follows. The least form of experienced bullying is the one by computers and telephones.

If we take a look at the significant differences among countries, there are number of significant differences. For example, if we take a look at primary school boys, we see some differences. In the case of secondary schools, girls only appear in the second rank.

Let’s take a look at this chart. This is the modified version of the graph which indicates the grade 5 children among the four countries. As you can see, it is quite obvious that Japan and Korea seem very low, but the question is, statistically speaking, if there is a significant difference between the two countries.
If we take a look at it, you will find that there are no major significant differences among the four countries. The most salient difference was found among girls in grade 5. This is the data for grade 7 boys and girls. The second data from Canada seems somewhat large, but then we won’t know until we take a look at the data from the third survey point, and we’ll have to wait and see whether or not this number will actually increase the third time.
Next, in the case of the victimizing rate, we see similarities and we find that the rates among the countries are fairly low. So there are some differences among the countries.
Comparison of victimizing rate (using computers / telephones)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>小5（男子）：いじめ加害：パソコン・電話で</th>
<th>小5（女子）：いじめ加害：パソコン・電話で</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100%</td>
<td>97.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90%</td>
<td>97.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80%</td>
<td>97.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70%</td>
<td>97.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60%</td>
<td>97.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50%</td>
<td>97.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40%</td>
<td>97.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30%</td>
<td>97.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20%</td>
<td>97.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td>97.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0%</td>
<td>97.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comparison of victimizing rate (using computers / telephones)
The Second Least Frequent Type of Bullying

TAKI: And then, the second-least-experienced form of bullying was taking and damaging property, and we see some significant differences among boys between the countries. So this is a category where we notice some difference between the countries.
Japan and Korea are both somewhat lower. And there is a higher percentage among Canada and Australia, well, actually I think we have imaged that there is a higher percentage of physical violence-based bullying outside Japan, and maybe this is where such perception comes from. Now in the case of the victimizing rate, the figures are lower than the victimization rate. So we have to wonder whether or not what exactly constitutes bullying because of the difference in the victimizing and the victimized rate.

Comparison of victimization rate (taking / damaging properties)
Comparison of victimization rate (taking / damaging properties)

小５（女子）：いじめ被害：金銭強要・物品破壊

Comparison of victimization rate (taking / damaging properties)

中１（男子）：いじめ被害：金銭強要・物品破壊
Comparison of victimization rate (taking / damaging properties)

中1（女子）：いじめ被害：金銭強要・物品破壊

Comparison of victimizing rate (taking / damaging properties)

小5（男子）：いじめ加害：金銭強要・物品破壊
Comparison of victimizing rate (taking / damaging properties)

**Comparison of victimizing rate (taking / damaging properties)**

**Comparison of victimizing rate (taking / damaging properties)**
Comparison of victimizing rate (taking / damaging properties)

The Most Frequent Type of Bullying

TAKI: Now the most frequently-experienced form of bullying tends to be exclusion and ignoring. This is similar among all the countries.

Comparison among 4 countries

3. 反対に経験率が多い、いじめの様態は、「仲間はずれ・無視・陰口」であり、

The highest is exclusion / ignoring / rumors,

※国間の有意差 significance among countries

小５男子の被害Gr5BoyVictim: 3回とも all times
小５女子の被害Gr5GirlVictim: 3回とも all times
中１男子の被害Gr7BoyVictim: 3回とも all times
中１女子の被害Gr7GirlVictim: 3回とも all times
小５男子の加害Gr5Boy Bully: 3回とも all times
小５女子の加害Gr5GirlBully: 3回とも all times
中１男子の加害Gr7Boy Bully: 1回目と3回目 1st & 3rd
中１女子の加害Gr7GirlBully: 3回とも all times
However when we compare 4 countries, there are some differences. We cannot boast, but I think there is a higher frequency in Japan. Bullying in Japan is often said primarily to be centered on exclusion, and this notion seems proved. But unexpectedly, exclusion can also be seen rather frequently in Canada, so we see that it’s not just the problem of Japan. Regarding Japan and Korea, you might feel that they should be the same because we’re Asian countries, but we find that there is a difference in terms of exclusion experienced in Korea and Japan. Maybe we could talk about that later on. And now the victimizing rate. The same situation could be seen and we see higher rates for Japan.
Comparison of victimization rate (exclusion / ignoring / rumors)

Comparison of victimization rate (exclusion / ignoring / rumors)
Comparison of victimizing rate (exclusion / ignoring / rumors)

小5（男子）：いじめ加害：仲間はずれ・無視・除口

Comparison of victimizing rate (exclusion / ignoring / rumors)

小5（女子）：いじめ加害：仲間はずれ・無視・除口
Next, teasing and name-calling. This is the second-most-experienced form of bullying in Japan.
I’m afraid we are focusing primarily on the ranking in Japan, but we find that actually teasing and name-calling is experienced quite frequently outside Japan as well. So this is a fairly well-experienced form of bullying across the different countries.
### Comparison of victimization rate (teasing / name calling)

#### 小5（女子）：いじめ被害：からかう・悪口

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>回目</th>
<th>1st</th>
<th>2nd</th>
<th>3rd</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>日本</td>
<td>33.8</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>13.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>オーストラリア</td>
<td>56.6</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>15.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>カナダ</td>
<td>47.8</td>
<td>41.2</td>
<td>14.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 中1（男子）：いじめ被害：からかう・悪口

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>回目</th>
<th>1st</th>
<th>2nd</th>
<th>3rd</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>日本</td>
<td>33.9</td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>13.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>オーストラリア</td>
<td>56.5</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>15.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>カナダ</td>
<td>47.8</td>
<td>41.2</td>
<td>14.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- □ぜんぜん
- ■時々
- ○週に1回以上
In terms of victimizing rate, I think it’s somewhat larger for Canada, somewhat lower for Korea. So we have tried to compare the four countries.

Comparison of victimizing rate (teasing / name calling)
Comparison of victimizing rate (teasing / name calling)

小5（女子）：いじめ加害：からかう・悪口

Comparison of victimizing rate (teasing / name calling)

中1（男子）：いじめ加害：からかう・悪口
The Type of Bullying with Middle Frequency

TAKI: Now we have taken a look at the form of bullying which is the most experienced and the least experienced, but now we want to take a look at the form of bullying which is somewhere in between frequent and infrequent. And this is where we note some differences among the countries. Pushing and hitting jokingly, for example, indicates the first survey for grade 7, and across all rankings for grade 7 girls, we see significant difference.

Comparison of victimizing rate (teasing / name calling)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>1st</th>
<th>2nd</th>
<th>3rd</th>
<th>1st</th>
<th>2nd</th>
<th>3rd</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>58.2</td>
<td>50.8</td>
<td>50.7</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>58.0</td>
<td>56.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>63.1</td>
<td>59.7</td>
<td>58.7</td>
<td>59.7</td>
<td>69.7</td>
<td>69.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>56.0</td>
<td>42.0</td>
<td>34.0</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>34.0</td>
<td>27.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comparison among 4 countries

5. 中間の経験率を示すいじめの様態は、「かるくぶつかる・叩く・蹴る」であり、

The inbetween rate is pushing/hitting jokingly

※国間の有意差 significance among countries

小５男子の被害Gr5BoyVictim : 3回とも all times
小５女子の被害Gr5GirlVictim : 3回とも all times
中１男子の被害Gr7BoyVictim : 3回とも all times
中１女子の被害Gr7GirlVictim : 3回とも all times
小５男子の加害Gr5BoyBully : 3回とも all times
小５女子の加害Gr5GirlBully : 3回とも all times
中１男子の加害Gr7BoyBully : 3回とも all times
中１女子の加害Gr7GirlBully : 3回とも all times
For example, in Japan you might feel that the rate is somewhat high, however, it’s much lower compared to other countries. This is grade 4 and grade 5. Grade 7, boys, grade 7 girls. We talked about this earlier but for inexcusable problematic behaviour, Japan is addressing the issues quite properly. However, for more ambiguous problematic activities, countermeasures seem not properly taken. Perhaps I should not focus so much on Japan. Well, let’s go on to next charts.

Comparison of victimization rate (pushing / hitting / kicking jokingly)
This is the victimizing rate. Not so high in Japan. I think Prof. Sim talked about why this form of bullying was more frequent in Korea. I think this is a very interesting phenomenon.
比較検定による被害者の割合（押す・ひく・蹴る）

小5（男）：いじめ加害：かおにぶつかる・叩く・蹴る

Comparison of victimizing rate (pushing / hitting / kicking jokingly)
Now, in the Western countries, hitting and kicking were categorized as one single category, however, we have decided to differentiate between hitting and kicking jokingly, and pushing and hitting on purpose. And we find that the pushing and hitting on purpose is not all that high in Western countries.
In Japan, pushing and hitting on purpose is not that high as a percentage, but it’s the same for Australia. I think we should probably focus more on pushing and hitting jokingly type of bullying because if it’s done jokingly, then it’s much more difficult for other persons to intervene.

Comparison of victimization rate (pushing / hitting / kicking on purpose)
Comparison of victimization rate (pushing / hitting / kicking on purpose)

| Grade 5 (Girls): Physical Aggression (Purposeful Pushing / Hitting / Kicking) |
|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| 1st Semester | 2nd Semester | 3rd Semester | 1st Semester | 2nd Semester | 3rd Semester | 1st Semester | 2nd Semester |
| Japan | 13.7% | 14.8% | 10.6% | 10.4% | 11.3% | 10.1% | 10.9% | 12.2% |
| Australia | 3.9% | 2.3% | 4.2% | 6.0% | 2.2% | 1.3% | 1.2% | 1.3% |

Comparison of victimization rate (pushing / hitting / kicking on purpose)

| Grade 1 (Boys): Physical Aggression (Purposeful Pushing / Hitting / Kicking) |
|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| 1st Semester | 2nd Semester | 3rd Semester | 1st Semester | 2nd Semester | 3rd Semester | 1st Semester | 2nd Semester |
| Japan | 12.5% | 18.3% | 18.5% | 25.1% | 5.6% | 22.0% | 18.7% | 25.0% |
| Australia | 3.0% | 5.3% | 3.7% | 0.7% | 1.7% | 4.1% | 2.3% | 0.7% |

Note: The percentages above represent the comparison of victimization rates among different regions and countries for both boys and girls in grades 5 and 1. The data shows the trend of physical aggression among students.
Comparison of victimizing rate (pushing / hitting / kicking on purpose)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1st N=420</th>
<th>2nd N=418</th>
<th>3rd N=437</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>中1（女子）</td>
<td>92.0</td>
<td>89.0</td>
<td>86.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>小5（男子）</td>
<td>92.0</td>
<td>89.0</td>
<td>88.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comparison of victimization rate (pushing / hitting / kicking on purpose)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1st N=63</th>
<th>2nd N=64</th>
<th>3rd N=57</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>中1（女子）</td>
<td>88.6</td>
<td>84.1</td>
<td>82.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>小5（男子）</td>
<td>88.6</td>
<td>84.1</td>
<td>82.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comparison of victimizing rate (pushing / hitting / kicking on purpose)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1st N=76</th>
<th>2nd N=77</th>
<th>3rd N=76</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>中1（女子）</td>
<td>88.6</td>
<td>84.1</td>
<td>82.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>小5（男子）</td>
<td>88.6</td>
<td>84.1</td>
<td>82.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Comparison of victimizing rate (pushing / hitting / kicking on purpose)

小5（女子）：いじめ加害：ひどくぶつかる・叩く・蹴る

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1回目</th>
<th>2回目</th>
<th>3回目</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>日本</td>
<td>92.4</td>
<td>92.3</td>
<td>96.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>オーストラリア</td>
<td>96.6</td>
<td>93.4</td>
<td>96.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>韓国</td>
<td>82.9</td>
<td>90.1</td>
<td>97.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>カナダ</td>
<td>88.8</td>
<td>97.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comparison of victimizing rate (pushing / hitting / kicking on purpose)

中1（男子）：いじめ加害：ひどくぶつかる・叩く・蹴る

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1回目</th>
<th>2回目</th>
<th>3回目</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>日本</td>
<td>91.7</td>
<td>92.2</td>
<td>96.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>オーストラリア</td>
<td>98.4</td>
<td>99.2</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>韓国</td>
<td>85.8</td>
<td>84.4</td>
<td>92.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>カナダ</td>
<td>74.5</td>
<td>74.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Regarding the characteristics of each country, maybe we could talk about that later after we completed all these slides. Although there are differences in degrees, there are certain similarities too. However, if we start discussing these minor differences as well as similarities in detail, it will be endless, and so we won’t go further today. So here in Japan, exclusion are one of the most typical bullying, however, outside of Japan, if you take a look at the more typical type of bulling, we find certain differences. And we see some differences in degrees in terms of the same type of bullying, and we hope that the panelists will be able to talk about the background later on.

Cross-National Comparisons of Continuation and Recurrence Rate

TAKI: Now you will find more graphs containing reference materials. It was very difficult to show them all on the screen. We show Japan, Australia, and South Korea. This is already explained earlier I believe. The left-hand side shows the percentage of repeaters for certain acts of bullying. We find that the recurrence rate is not all that high. And we find that if we don’t question the frequency, we find that over a year-and-a-half period, a very large number of children experienced some form of bullying. And the subsequent charts are very similar so I will not show in the same fashion. I have decided to show with a chart.
We took a look at the recurrence rate of high frequency victimization. Regarding exclusion, teasing, hitting jokingly, hitting on purpose, and taking property if you’re talking about a year and a half you might find that the same victims would be victimized over the same period, however, we find that in the first and the second survey, different children are being victimized. So, a single child being victimized over a long period seems not the case. So let’s take a look at Australia. I think in Australia we have a high percentage of teasing, name-calling, and there seems not so much bullying with high frequency. I think the same could be said for Korea if we look at grade 5.
And this is the seventh grade in the secondary school, and we find that the occurrence rate slightly decreases.

Now in the case of victimizing rate, this is how the situation would look. This is for primary school. In the case of excluding, as this type of bullying often involve large number of children, the number is somewhat larger, however, for other acts, it is less frequent, and there are almost no cases that continuing all survey period, as you can see. So, we can say both case of victimizing and victimization takes place fairly infrequently.
Now, when we compare the continuation between three countries, it seems the notion that serious bullying can happen to any school, any class and any child can be applicable on a global scale. As this is something which Japan has been saying for the last 10 years, we really need this awareness to spread globally.
People tend to focus on children who have been physically bullied right in front of our eyes, however, as Prof. Morita mentioned earlier, physical trauma can be overcome, but emotional trauma, humiliation will last for a very long period. Children may not be able to return to school as a result. Maybe in the year 2000, I think you talked about some tragic incident of suicide in Canada, right?

**HYMEL:** Yes, within a short space of a year, all due to bullying.

**TAKI:** Was that, that wasn’t due to physical bullying, was it? I think it was interpersonal relationships. That was the case, right?

**HYMEL:** In all cases it was mostly exclusion and verbal threats that were named as opposed to physical bullying.

**TAKI:** Which means it is the same as the case of Japan. Children who are pushed to suicide often not because of physical violence. It’s more of exclusion, graffiti on their belongings, and things like that. These are the reasons for children committing suicide, and that seems globally applicable. However, when we have debates over bullying, we tend to focus on the physical aggression, and of course these are serious too and we’re not saying that we should ignore these acts, however, there are other forms of serious bullying and those are very critical. That’s what we wanted to put across to you.

**What Make Children Taking Bullying Behaviour**

**TAKI:** So perhaps we could take a look at the different patterns in the following subsequent charts. Before moving on to the characteristics of each country and before moving on to the background of bul-
lying, I would like to take up this one.

**Japan**

TAKI: In Japan I used the same diagram to discuss Japan, but as this is a different grade, the diagram may look differently. For each grade, as the interpersonal relations may vary and the degrees of personal relations differ, we have a somewhat different linkage in these diagrams, but many of these elements can be explained from this diagram. Families, teachers, and peers are in opposing relations. Families, peers, and teachers, each of them try to understand and try to support individually. It's difficult to manage. This is boys.

The same basic pattern continues. This is girls. So this is how it looks, a conclusion similar to the earlier chart from Japan.
Regarding this stress hypothesis, I have discussed this with Prof. Slee and I have conducted a survey before, so I would like to have comment from Prof. Slee on this hypothesis. It looks somewhat similar but looks simpler. Families, peers, and teachers are not opposing, unlike Japan.

**Australia**

**SLEE:** This was a very helpful discussion to have had with Mitsuru because when we began to explore the whole idea of the stress hypothesis and look at the contributions, we divided it into the study, the teachers, peers, and family. And what we found was that there were quite direct links with the symptoms of stress that the students were experiencing. And then as Mitsuru has described, that then is strongly linked to bullying others. So in Australia there does appear to be quite a strong link between the pressures that the children feel, the way they then manifest that stress in depression and in anxiety and physical symptoms such as headaches. And that then is linked very strongly to the tendency to bully others. So it does lend a lot of weight to the idea of the stress hypothesis.
Japan

TAKI: Before, we had some similar exercise and I was surprised. Japan is said to be unique in that we have entrance examination stress which often cause bullying in Japan. But looking at Australia, study is a source of stress too similar to the situation in Japan. So study is not necessarily for entrance examinations but there seem some variations. Probably, have to get good marks or not interested in the study itself, not being able to follow classes and not being able to understand what is being taught, and so on. Study can be an important source of stress. In the MEXT policy, there is a message that class studies should be easier to understand and we can now understand that this is one important element in the policy. Next, the girls chart in Australia. Would you like to comment?

Australia

SLEE: As Mr. Taki has mentioned, it may be a perception that in Australia the students and the adults spend a lot of time on the beach relaxing and that they’re not very stressed, but what we found in fact was that studying is a significant stressor and that there is a great deal of competition amongst the students. And that similarly, the pressure for girls, peers are an important source of stress. So the extent to which the girls feel accepted in their peer group and liked by others, and if that doesn’t occur then that’s a significant source of stress. So study and peers, particularly for girls, again, is very, very strongly linked to symptoms of stress, strongly linked, and then very, very strongly linked to the tendency to bully others. So think what this is doing is giving us an important window into interventions in Australia from an Australian context, differences, gender differences between boys and girls, give school counsellors, social workers an opportunity to think differently about how they might begin to intervene in a school context.

TAKI: To Korea. This is the Korean situation. And we have two participants from Korea today. Earlier data were data collected by Prof. Sim, and this set of data was collected by Prof. Kwak, who will be participating in the panel discussion later. With a larger population the data is more consistent and Prof. Kwak’s data with a larger sample is used, but I would like to ask for comment from Prof. Sim.

Korea

SIM: Actually, it’s not my data so I didn’t give some thought about it. But I can see something a little bit. The competition has an influence as a source of stress. In Korea, grade 7, the source of peer stress affected bullying others positively. But not from the stress of study - the stress of teacher, the stress of family. So I feel kind of curious about that. But I’ve got some data at home about the relationship between stress and bullying others. I saw a lot of correlations but I’m not sure about this data.
Current Situation on Bullying Among 4 Countries

TAKI: Later, perhaps Prof. Kwak can discuss this in more detail. But it seems that the diagram looks quite similar to the Japanese diagram, and in any country interpersonal relations may be working to alleviate stress or it may be lessening the frequency of bullying others. So it seems the situation is similar. But it seems that there are no group-to-group confrontations, group against group confrontations, unlike Japan. I hear that entrance examinations are more competitive in Korea than in Japan, and in news reports there is some footage from Korea that police on motorbikes help students to arrive at the entrance examination centre. We may not be able to draw a simple comparison but it seems that the situation is quite similar in Korea.

We looked at different types of bullying and whether it is persistent and continuous. It seems that there are some different characteristics across countries, but in any type of bullying it is not continuous. It is not persistent. Bullies are changing time to time. So it’s not that a special population of children is bullies. If there is no victimizing population, then can we apply the stress hypothesis? When we apply the stress hypothesis, to some degree I think with this model we can explain why students turn to bullying others.

In the previous symposium we had a discussion on countermeasures of each country, and that was the starting point of the discussion. Now this time, we are showing the data first, because if situations differ greatly from country to country then it may not be so meaningful to discuss countermeasures. But it seems that there are many common aspects, and countermeasures taken by other countries may be informative and helpful.

Thus we would now like to move on to measures taken by each country, beginning with Australia. First, your country’s situation, and policies and countermeasures.

Australia

SLEE: The research on school bullying perhaps began in 1989-1990 in Australia and was first published in 1991. Following that particular work, a colleague and I have been researching school bullying and its impact for a number of years now, and so it is particularly valuable in a conference such as this to share different ideas and then to take those ideas back and to talk with educators, teachers, policy-makers and with bureaucrats.

And so in Australia at the moment, the issue of school bullying is very high on the agenda now of the government. So really, until perhaps 1994 when some statistics started to become available from our research, it wasn’t taken that seriously. Prof. Sim has asked me a question around why bullying does occur in an Australian context. And I think that today, and it gave me some pause for thought about what is it that maintains bullying in Australia? And I think there are different influences at work. I think culturally it was often an acceptable form of human relationship. So it was a way of behaving toward others. And I think there’s the influence of the media as an important part of it. And I think that adults and educators didn’t take it very seriously, so I was very interested in the opening speech where Japanese teachers were urged to think about reported incidents of bullying very seriously.
That’s very similar to Australia where it is strongly urged that teachers take reported incidents and try and do something about them. So moving on from 1994, in the last two years some of us have been involved with the federal government in Australia, it’s a little difficult to explain, but in each of the states, I come from South Australia, education is a part of the government of South Australia, but we also have a federal government that is responsible for education overall in Australia. Now Federally, the government is taking the issue of school bullying and violence very seriously.

So Prof. Morita before referred to international efforts, such as the International Observatory that’s drawing together international ideas about bullying. Well in Australia now they’ve developed a framework and a group of us met with teachers and principals, students and bureaucrats from Canberra two years ago, and we devised what was called the National Safe Schools Framework. Now the National Safe Schools Framework was intended to provide for all Australian schools a basis for schools taking action to reduce bullying and violence, so it was very specifically directed at the issue of school bullying and violence. It’s important to say, I think, that there were exchanges of visits between Japan and Australia, and teachers, Mr. Taki was involved in some of those, and so teachers came from Australia and visited here in Japan and saw what was happening. And it’s those kinds of influences I think that have affected the bureaucrats to take the issue quite seriously. So now we have a National Safe Schools Framework. Every school in Australia now, beginning this year, must address the framework itself.

Prof. Morita before talked about, so there are five points to this framework. The first of those has to do with identifying school values, ethos and culture that promote student well-being. Secondly, schools must look at developing policies, programs and procedures for reducing bullying and violence. Thirdly, they must provide professional development for teachers and principals and school counsellors, and also for students through peer support programs, and for parents through parent education and parent training. Schools must be able to show what they do when a student comes to a teacher and says that they are being bullied. So they must have a way of showing how they manage incidents of bullying. They must also provide support for students, so it must be seen that in the school there is support provided by the teachers, by school counsellors, through programs such as peer support. And finally, they emphasize working closely with parents.

So I was interested to listen to Prof. Morita’s conversation where what was being emphasized was a community basis for reducing bullying and violence. So now in Australia, using the National Safe Schools Framework, every school must report back against what they are doing every year, for those five points. So they must be able to show how they are addressing school bullying and violence. And the Federal government has encouraged that by providing federal funding to the schools so that the schools who are showing positive programs and can show that they are reducing bullying or addressing bullying or have particular initiatives, are encouraged to share those ideas, and then there’s also some funding associated with those positive initiatives. So this year that National Safe Schools Framework will begin to take place, and all schools across every jurisdiction. So we have public schools, and we have Catholic schools and we have independent schools. Every school must report against these criteria.

To finish with, I think that one of the initiatives in Australia that is important is that bullying is now seen to extend beyond the individual, beyond the school, and into the community. So the focus much more strongly is on how the community can support and provide support for students and for teachers to re-
duce bullying. So bullying is not seen as just something that is the school’s responsibility. And so I think it’s extending much more widely into the community. And so there are programs that we are now working with where we are involved with welfare agencies and police and social workers and pediatricians and doctors, where we come into the schools and we introduce programs around safety and protecting one’s self from bullying and violence.

And at the same time we are looking to strengthen the bonds in the community so the social capital that exists in the community, so to build up and to strengthen communities so that they are better able to deal with the bullying that, yes, does occur in the schools but which is not the sole responsibility of the school. So it’s seen now more broadly speaking as a community issue that communities now are being encouraged to attempt to address. And some of the more recent programs in the last year or so that I and my colleagues have been involved in has had to do with addressing bullying at a community level. So Mr. Taki, I think that is a clarification of the National Safe Schools Framework that has just been introduced.


The National Safe Schools Framework (NSSF) provides a national approach to dealing with school violence and bullying and child protection issues in schools. The vision is that all Australian schools are safe and supportive environments. It comprises a set of 11 guiding principles & 6 key elements including:

1. **Identifying school values, ethos and culture that promote student welfare**
2. **Developing policies, programs and procedures**
3. **Providing professional development/training for staff, students and parents**
4. **Managing incidents**
5. **Providing support for students**
6. **Working closely with parents.**

**TAKI:** Thank you. Prof. Sim, please.

**Korea**

**SIM:** Okay, from the perspective of research, we started from 1997, so it’s quite a new area. But as you can imagine, bullying and victimization were there from a long time ago. So I think awareness of that phenomenon is now starting. So we made some videotapes for reducing bullying and victimization, so I think we are ready to launch that from March this year. So we hope to help the victims amongst schools. Policies taken by Korea will be spoken by Keumjoo Kwak later on in more detail.
TAKI: Ms. Shelley?

Canada

HYMEL: It’s somewhat difficult to be here representing the country with the highest rates of bullying, but I need to speak to that issue.

There are many reasons why Canada’s rates may have been higher across areas, but one comes to mind as most striking. We are very early in the process, and right now in British Columbia, where these data were taken, it is the peak of awareness-building. Essentially, attention to bullying has not been a primary concern in schools in Canada until recently. My colleagues, Debra Pepler and Wendy Craig, have been doing research since the 90s in the area, but it was never seen as a national concern despite their efforts. In 1997 in British Columbia, my province, a young girl named Reena Virk was brutally murdered by six girls and a boy, and it shocked the country; it shocked the province in particular. But still, nothing happened. It wasn’t until this tragedy was followed by two suicide deaths in 2000-2001 that the province of British Columbia decided that we had to do something about it. And in that particular province, in 2002 the Ministry of Education made “social responsibility” a foundational skill for the province, saying that social responsibility skills were as important as reading, writing and numeracy.

They began a campaign, and right now we have essentially raised awareness of bullying to the point where we are seeing kids recognizing it in many forms, which may account in part for our higher rates. As yet, we do not have a national anti-bullying strategy, although we have begun one. With my colleagues, Drs. Debra Pepler and Wendy Craig from Ontario and Michel Boivin from Quebec, and myself from British Columbia, we have launched the Canadian Initiative for the Prevention of Bullying. The website just went up a few months ago and we have begun to raise awareness. But the process is very much in its infancy. In 2004, we had our first national conference about bullying for the country.

So we’re in the very early stages and we are very pleased to be able to follow what we learned from the examples of our colleagues in Australia and Japan who have national policies, as well as England and Norway, and hope to benefit from the work that you’ve done in putting that on the agenda for Canada. And you’ll be seeing more of our initiative in this area in the future, I hope.
TAKI: Thank you very much. I think the respective countries have talked about their respective situations. And we have been able to hear about some of the policies being taken at the national level, and I think we have heard that some countries are still in their infancy. And some work is being carried out on a voluntary basis by some experts.

Canada’s third data will be coming very soon. I’m afraid they were not in time for this symposium but the data will be coming and we were not able to use that data. But I think going forward we hope to scrutinize and analyze this data even further. So we’ve only been able to introduce a very rough sketch of this study. The impressions that I have are as follows. We’ve had the report. We’ve also introduced some of the policies of the respective countries. Now our fellow panellists were able to see this data only maybe about a week ago. And yesterday we were able to exchange data for the first time. And I think we were able to exchange views as to why some bullying activities were more frequent in different countries. I know we are living in the age of the Internet, however, I think face-to-face discussions cannot be substituted in some cases.

So for the members on the podium today, I think the results of the survey are very refreshing. So maybe you can share with us your impressions about this survey, your thoughts about this survey, some features of the survey, some of the advantages and disadvantages behind this type of survey. So maybe we can begin with Phillip Slee. Any impressions about this survey?

Aspects and Advantages of Cooperative Study in 4 Countries

Australia

SLEE: Thank you. When we met yesterday it was delightful to spend some time looking over the infor-
information that Mr. Taki had collated for us. I think my comments would be directed very broadly. One of the real values of the research is the collaborative nature of what we are doing. So we are building up a network now, a collaborative network involving, particularly strongly Canada and Korea and Japan and Australia, and more recently, as Prof. Morita mentioned, there was a conference in Bordeaux that I was able to attend for the International Observatory. And what attending that conference did was highlight for me how important it is for a communication such as we are having today so that we can share information amongst ourselves and talk about it, and that generates new and rich ideas to reduce the level of violence and bullying.

It also became clear to me when I attended the conference in Bordeaux that it is a rather unique relationship that we've established with the Pacific Rim countries. And one might expect that we would get significant differences, given difference in culture and background and history, and yes, there are some of those differences there, but, as you have seen today, there are also some strong similarities across our countries. And so it's the exploration of that that I think is the way forward. It provides a basis for attending to the welfare of children who are the most vulnerable in our population, and particularly around violence and bullying.

And so it's this sharing of ideas I think that stimulates ideas for prevention in particular, and making interventions, but not making those interventions directed at special children in that very strong sense of the term, because I think the figures are quite clear about bullying occurring anywhere for any child at any time in any school. So I think that's an important message for me to take home from this conference. And that opportunity to share that work and the ideas with Korea and with Canada and Japan, and I understand the United States may be part of this eventually, so it's that broader sharing that's most important from my point of view. Thanks Mr. Taki.

TAKI: Thank you. Then shall we go on to Shelley?

Canada

HYMEL: I think that this is a very important and critical study in terms of international comparisons. Previous studies, some that we've talked about today, have demonstrated that rates of bullying differ because we use different words, and this has been demonstrated repeatedly now.

A recent 2002 article by Smith and colleagues comparing the words for bullying across 14 countries, 13 languages, demonstrated that the things that the word “bullying” tends to refer more to physical violence. Ijime tends to refer more to relational violence. That's been demonstrated. What our study brings is the first, I think, truly international comparison that is made possible because we avoided such terms. We gave children concrete ideas about behaviours, interpersonal behaviours between two people, and then we asked them to describe in fact what they saw, what they experienced in their world. This means this is the first real comparison that we have about bullying, without using those words, across countries.

And the fact that what we're finding is the same pattern across countries, despite some variations in rates, is very striking. We know that the most common forms of bullying across countries are in fact relational and verbal forms of harassment. Physical violence, both joking and on purpose, is certainly prevalent but not nearly as dominant. So I think we have to really respect and thank Prof. Taki for bringing us together
to allow this, what I think is going to be a landmark study. Thank you.

TAKI: Thank you. H ee-og, please.

Korea

SIM: As for trends, I want to mention our usage of new categories. We first used the category ‘hitting and kicking jokingly’. It’s a quite new approach. As you can remember, in our country victimization and bullying jokingly was the highest one. But I would never divide these items so it was very impressive. So students from my country, they thought, “It’s just a joke, I didn’t try to do harm to others. It’s just a joke. I’m just playing around with someone.” So I think we need to emphasize awareness of their behaviour toward others. It could be very harmful to others, but they don’t know, students and teachers. They didn’t take it seriously so far, so there are a lot of things to do and to be done about bullying and victimization in my country.

TAKI: Thank you very much. So I think we have been able to keep time in a fairly positive fashion. I will be taking part in the panel discussion later on. I just wanted to share with you what happened yesterday. In Japan, exclusion was fairly frequent and we were discussing this result. And someone said that there was another way of looking at the situation. Exclusion, this is harassment. This hurts the other party. But in Japan, I think children understand that this exclusion is negative. They are at least aware that this is very bad behaviour and that is why it is so frequent. Maybe in other forms of bullying the children are not aware that this is bullying per se.

But then I’m not saying we should take comfort from the Japanese statistics because these people are bullying, understanding that this is bullying so this is problematic in its own right. So the situation in Japan and other countries are somewhat different in that Japan has a longer history. I think we cannot have an apple-to-apple type of comparison in terms of frequency. But in the case of Japan, we have a very large sample, we are able to take a look at the average pattern. In the case of Australia or Canada, there might be some differences, and it’s somewhat difficult to gain national data at this juncture. So maybe we’re able to extrapolate samples from other regions within the country to take a national sample. But I think we’re able to draw some comparison. We’re able to develop understanding based on this type of comparison. And I think this is quite important.

Now outside this symposium, the National Institute for Educational Policy Research is actually inviting experts from the Asia-Pacific for another conference. So I think international cooperation and international comparison can be the basis for future work. As today’s symposium is entitled, “International Symposium on Education Reform,” I think we should address this issue in the context of educational reform, and I do hope that today’s results are meaningful.

Now we still have another session left. We’ll be having a panel discussion after a break. Thank you for your patience and we’ll take a break at this juncture.
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SHIRAMA: I am Shirama. Good afternoon. I am with NIER. Thank you very much for staying with us for a long time today and thank you for your kind attention. At the end of today’s program, we would like to have a panel discussion under the topic of ‘what we can do to prevent children from turning to problematic behaviour’. We are planning to conduct this panel discussion until 5:30, and I would be most appreciated for your attention. I will be serving as Moderator for this panel discussion as I was one of the persons responsible for planning this conference at NIER. So, Please allow me to serve as Moderator.

We have four panellists today. To my left, in the order that they are seated, I would like to introduce the panellists. Prof. Yohji Morita who earlier appeared in the dialogue, from Osaka Shoin Women’s University.

And to the next, I’m sure he is very well-known to you at MEXT, the Deputy Director of the Student Affairs Division in the Elementary and Secondary Education Bureau of the MEXT, Mr. Jugou Imaizumi. This division is in charge of children’s problematic behaviour such as bullying.

We have two guests from Korea today, and she is Prof. Keumjoo Kwak from Seoul National University.
And finally Mr. Taki from NIER. We would now like to start the panel discussion right away.

During the break, we had many questions and suggestions, thank you very much. We are not sure how many questions we are able to introduce to you today, but we would like to take and ask panelists to address as many questions as possible as we proceed. First, I would like to turn to Prof. Morita. Earlier in the dialogue session regarding bullying in Japan since the 1980s, you discussed that you are involved in research on bullying since the 1980s in Japan. We also have conducted a longitudinal study at NIER and the results from an international collaborative study were introduced to the audience earlier. Having listened to these reports, what are your observations and comments, Prof. Morita?

**Cross-national Comparisons on Onlookers and Reinforcers**

**MORITA:** I have looked at the data, and first of all, there’s a comment that bullying can be occurred to any student, to any class, or to any school. In a way, this is something that we expected, and so not surprising, but I think this is an important point. There was a question from the audiences that bullying behaviour may be human nature or human instinct. I have conducted some surveys over the years.

This is the graph of the onlookers and defenders of the four-tiered structural theory in the cross-national comparative study in 1998. This study is the comparison between Japan and European countries, in particular England, the Netherlands, and Norway. This is the rate for victimization between 2 terms (4 months) in Japan. As was mentioned earlier, there may be a difference of definition in each country, the rate was calculated by both the rate for action itself and for the type of actions.

---

**いじめの場面での児童生徒の共同性と自浄作用**

**図 I 各国の被害経験率**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>国名</th>
<th>被害経験率</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>日本</td>
<td>13.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>イギリス</td>
<td>39.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>オランダ</td>
<td>27.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ノルウェー</td>
<td>20.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- 日本（1998年2学期 全国の公立小5～中3 6,906人）
- 海外（1997年1月～4月、英・2,308人、オ・1,993人、ノルウェー5,171人）
Japan is lower in rate among the four countries, though at 13.9 per cent seems, in an absolute sense, a high level. Among these, there is what we call a progressive type of bullying, suffering over a longer period of time at a very high frequency. When we look at the rate for this progressive type of bullying, Japan becomes the highest. Although the overall experience of being bullied may be lower in Japan, when it comes to long-term, persistent bullying, the rate is higher. In other words, in Japan, once children got bullied, it seems very difficult to escape from the situation. In the UK, on the other hand, the overall rate is about 40 per cent, but it seems that they are transitory in nature.

Now, we are looking at the four-tiered analysis. This graph shows the rate for onlookers and reinforcers. There is a conspicuous difference. In the case of Japan, the rate for defenders seems quite high in primary schools but then declines steadily. In other two countries, however, the rate for defenders starts to increase in secondary schools. In terms of onlookers, the rate in Japan is low in primary schools, and it increases in number as children get older. But in England and the Netherlands, on the other hand, the rate for onlookers starts to decrease in secondary schools. In other words, children no longer pretend not to notice, but act as a defender to intervene the situation.
Of course in the UK and the Netherlands, there are certainly onlookers in primary schools, and protectors are not always there. As a result, there is bullying. However, in secondary school, there is a substantial change. In this period, children start to experience the development of self. Considering the tendency of human development, this is the time when children start to develop their social self as a member of a community, and at the same time, the sense of responsibility and obligation begin to be formed. During that time, in the case of Japan, there are no defenders for the victim, but in the Netherlands and the UK, there are defenders. So, with education, we have to change the situation in Japan, and we have to reduce the number of onlookers and increase the number of defenders. In order to do so, education is important, not only school education but also family and community education.

As indicated here, we see bullying anywhere but we don’t think this is just because of human instinct. We have some capability of overcoming this, and the key point is how to increase that capability in school, in communities and in families.

Furthermore, Prof. Hoshino who was one of the research members of our 10,000 survey, commented that bullying can occur in any school, in any class, in any child. If this is the case, the next important step is to find out what are the preconditions to make bullying happen, and what should we control to prevent bullying to happen. In the earlier 10,000 survey research, we found out that one of the factors of making bullying happen is the climate of schools, types of teachers and climate of classrooms. In certain climates of the classrooms, for example, the atmosphere that honest pupils are not rewarded, or the sense of justice isn’t approved, bullying will occur at a high frequency. Thus, we should now turn our focus to these issues: what are the conditions conducive to bullying or what the disturbing factors for bullying are. If we can take a further step and study these aspects, then we may be able to control and prevent bullying in schools.
SHIRAMA: Thank you very much. The possible next research directions and aims were indicated. As the importance of education in school, community and family was indicated, Mr. Imaizumi, deputy-director who is responsible for school education in the administrative branch, maybe you can offer us your impression of today’s symposium so far. You might have different perspectives as a non-researcher but as an administrative. There are also some questions you may be able to cover. Mr. Imaizumi, please.

Bullying Can Happen “in Any School, Any Class and Any child”

IMAIZUMI: Well, as I have participated in this half-day symposium today, I would like to begin with my impressions so far. First of all, I was able to reconfirm the fact that the attitudes and recognition of the MEXT towards bullying as administrative or what was understood at the forefront of schools was correct. I believe that both a longitudinal study and the cross-national study presented today clearly indicated the fact that bullying can occur in any school, any class, and any child, and, this does not require any special conditions, but it occurs in other countries, though how bullying manifests itself may somewhat differ from country to country. Also, there were some important findings on how stress can be alleviated. According to the study, it can be lessened by good interpersonal relationships between parents and children, between teachers and children, and among peers. In other words, good interpersonal relationships could help to reduce bullying, and that may have been felt intuitively, but I think there is now scientific data showing the importance of interpersonal relationships. As for bullying, MEXT has taken various measures already so far, but there has not been such data that endorse our policies before. So, I believe it is significant that we have such data now.

In today’s symposium, some important data and issues has been presented to several hundred of participants today. But there are 40,000 schools, including primary and lower and upper secondary education and there are 1 million teachers nationwide, and so, not only to those participated today but we also have to disseminate information to share nationwide. The MEXT has to focus on this area of providing these data to teachers and schools.

The second point is that schools are in an advantageous position and that advantageous position should be utilized.

Through today’s symposium, our direction, the correctness of MEXT’s policy is proven. Bullying can occur in any school, any class, in any child, and this means that we have to look at each individual child and that is where our schools can use their advantage. There are 40,000 schools and 1,000,000 licensed and trained teachers nationwide, compared to only 187 child consultation centres with only 7,000 counsellors. There are only about 50,000 people who serve as local community counsellors on a voluntary basis as rehabilitation or voluntary probation officers. But there are so many schools and so many teachers nationwide and that is an advantage that we should make use of.

Another advantage of schools is that schools can intervene before the situation gets too serious. As for consultation centres, cases may be brought to them when it becomes very serious. But in the case of schools, it is possible to approach the situation before it is too serious, not as educational counselling but in the way of day-to-day student guidance, and measures can be taken, including preventive measures.
That is the advantage of schools, and we should be using this advantage of schools by all means. And I reconfirm that this is our task at MEXT.

If I may cite another advantage of school, which is different from family education is that children can interact with those in the same age group as well as those in different age groups, and they can learn from each other. This is a pseudo-social experience before children enter into real society. That is the merit or advantage of schools. This is a group or collective environment, and that environment itself is important as shown by the data.

SHIRAMA: Thank you. We should use the advantages of schools. At the end, before concluding, we would like to touch upon that point once again.

Now it may be of a somewhat different subject, but Prof. Kwak is with us from Korea. Prof. Sim also mentioned that in Korea bullying is now seen as an important problem and there are many policies and measures for bullying. As Prof. Sim mentioned that Prof. Kwak will be able to discuss about policies of Korea, I hope, Prof. Kwak, you can share with us research results and the Korean situation.

Current Situation in Korea

KWAK: My name is Keumjoo Kwak. I am a professor in the Department of Psychology at Seoul National University. I am so pleased that you invited me to this wonderful symposium. Thanks to Mr. Taki and many people at NIER. So I’m going to mention the Korean situation briefly.

The history of the study of bullying in Korea is comparatively short. It has been only for the last ten years. The issue was focused and stayed as a social problem after the death of a middle school student caused by being bullied in 1995. This was very shocking news in Korea. However, at that time, it was called “Korean ijime” because there wasn’t any appropriate term for it. So in my case, I have studied Korean bullying from that time, maybe ’97 or ’98. However, now the name of Korean bullying is wang-ta, like ijime in Japan.

After that wang-ta has been a social issue continuously in Korea, scholars have been studying it, but the government hasn’t been interested in it at all. Eventually, the government has changed. The government has realized that Korean school violence or wang-ta is getting more serious. These kinds of problems must be studied without delay. The Korean government has been considering how to cope with school violence, including wang-ta, and they realized a policy is necessary for protecting students and schools.
Prevention on Bullying

In 2004, the law of school violence prevention was established in Korea, and this law reflects a lot of efforts of staff of non-government organizations and scholars, including myself. In the law, it is included how to cope within schools when wang-ta has occurred, the way to manage victims and bullies, and operations of prevention programs in schools. So, all of the schools in Korea must do a prevention program. Unfortunately, there had not been prevention programs in schools until the law was enforced.
In 2005, I developed a prevention program at the request of the Korean Ministry of Education. The program is called “Shim- Bou-oo”, which means “See our friends, helping our friends”. This program is a school-based program which is divided into two: one is for primary schools, the other is for lower and upper secondary schools. It includes ten sessions for ten minutes each. It is conducted with audio-visual materials including various contents, such as experimental scenes, drama form and animation and still cards, and interviews of professionals and entertainers. These contents make it more interesting and close to students.
Prevention Program

the prevention program
by request of Korean Ministry of Education (Kwak, 2005)

1. **Title:** Si(視)Woo(友) Bo(保) Woo(友)

2. **Target:** Elementary School Student
   Junior High / High School Student

3. **Structure**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Programs for use in Elementary School</th>
<th>Programs for use in Elementary School</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Use manual</td>
<td>Use manual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conducting of the audio-video material</td>
<td>Conducting of the audio-video material</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Various Contents such as Experiment scenes, Drama form, Animation, Still-cuts, Interviews for professionals and entertainers</td>
<td>Various Contents such as Experiment scenes, Drama form, Animation, Still-cuts, Interviews for professionals and entertainers</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Construction in the program

*Every Session has Audio-visual materials for 8~10 minutes*

- **For use in Elementary school**
  - 1. the differentiated program that is considered developmental aspects
  - 2. The Korean styles of the prevention program
  - 3. Widely applicable program
  - 4. A adaptable program in digital generation

Copyright Keumjoo Kwak

Kwak (2005), supported by Ministry of Education
Every session has audio-visual materials. These are some of the characteristics in the program. First, it is a differentiated program that considers developmental stages. This is dealing with cognition and awareness and emotion and behaviour. Second, it is a Korean-style prevention program. On the basis of my research of almost five years, Korea has different educational characteristics, social environment and characteristics of teenagers from other countries. So it is adapted to a real Korean setting. Third, it is a widely applicable program. It is targeting general students, the bystanders, the bullies and the victims in the classroom. And it is to be used for teachers without specific training on this program, and it is connected to a curriculum because most Korean teachers don’t want to give any efforts for this kind of job. And last, it is an adapted program for the children of digital generation. It is designed for such children to be interested with various audio-visual materials.

I’d like to show you some of the contents of the program. This program will be distributed to all the nation’s primary, and lower and upper secondary school students in Korea in March this year, as the spring semester begins next month in Korea.
For Elementary school

Physical violence

For junior high/high school

Verbal Violence
I just realized something important in this symposium. As I participated in this meeting, I listened to the keynote speech and the presentation of the cross-national study of four countries. I realized I couldn’t reflect the results from this survey comparing Japan and Korea on this program. So I’m going to reflect the results in the next program, I mean the revised program, as collaborative with Japan.

This is my data of 1,006 students from four representative areas in Korea. I find some different factors influencing bullying others. In terms of gender differences, the boys are more affected by peer pressure, but girls are more influenced by family support. So for the next revision, I’m just thinking of different contents in the revised program for boys and girls.

This is another example. These are the results of Japan and Korea. For girls, seventh grade. As you see, the pattern between Japan and Korea is very similar, so it can be interpreted that the pattern of bullying is very similar between the two countries. From this result, Korea is able to apply methods used in Japan to decrease ijime. The history of wang-ta, Korean bullying, is comparatively shorter than ijime, where Japan has already made policies for preventing and overcoming ijime. Also, I think the result of our collaborative study encouraged us to produce a Korean policy for preventing wang-ta. So I would like to have a longitudinal study and I would appreciate having collaboration on this study with Japan and Canada and Australia. I’m sure our efforts are contributing to stop school violence. Thank you.
Comparing between boys and girls in results of Korea

Comparing results between Korea and Japan

Copyright Keumjoo Kwak
SHIRAMA: Thank you very much. We sincerely hope that the results of this survey could be of use in your activities in Korea going forward. And then I believe it is Mr. Taki’s turn, but since you’ve had the chance to speak on many occasions already, if it’s agreeable with you, we’d like to turn to accept questions from the floor.

We have already received many questions. So we would like to invite the panellists to comment on these questions which we have received.

Comment for Questions from the Floor

TAKI: Yes, it seems that we have two very academic questions. One is about the needs for cross-national comparison of the cultures of students, which includes the question whether the students in given countries are anti-teacher or pro-teacher. If there is any cross-national comparison of such student attributes, this might be of use.

Another question raised by audience was that there may be cultural differences in what degree people consider the same behaviour as problematic. In other words, people in different culture may have different scales to decide how problematic a particular behaviour would be. Perhaps in the course of such studies, we will be able to identify which phenomenon are deemed as being problematic behaviours, and I think it is possible to extrapolate to such cultural differences. That may be too simple answers for the question, but please allow my response as an answer to these two academic questions.

We focused on bullying in primary and lower secondary schools today, but there are people who interested in bullying in upper-secondary schools, in particular, about the bullying using IT medias such as E-
mails and mobile phones. Most upper-secondary school students in Japan now have mobile phones, and in that sense, perhaps bullying by mobile phones could become a very serious problem. Some audiences pointed out that this is already a very serious problem. So, how could we provide instructions and guidelines to the upper-secondary students? There may be more general problem of ‘media-literacy’, but beforehand, we need to teach children, during primary and lower-secondary education, about being more considerate and sympathetic towards others, and at the same time, being in control of self. In fact, we are planning to study about absenteeism in upper-secondary school next year, and so perhaps in that context we would be able to take a look at the bullying issue, particularly those with IT medias and mobile phones as well.

Now we received questions about family issues. How can we address issues related to family? What about stress in the family environment? What about the issue of abuse in families? I believe MEXT is going to be addressing the issue of abuse and domestic violence in the next fiscal year, and in that I think providing support to families with young children at the primary school and kindergarten is very important. I think some supports and activities are already being undertaken but perhaps there is a need for greater coordination with schools as well as communities. Maybe we can talk about that again later on, as maybe Mr. Imaizumi will be able to address that.

And, let’s see, there are other questions. It seems that Japan has a higher awareness of bullying, and that the study on bullying seems to be ahead of other countries. Why is that? I think it’s just that Japan has a longer history. And also, in overseas countries, the bullying problems tend to consider as one of the issues related to racial discrimination, and was seen as something that was limited to a certain group of children. However, that is not the case in Japan. As Prof. Morita explained, the bullying problem was actually separated from the issue of discrimination or physical violence in Japan. It was touched upon as a self-sufficient category. In other words, bullying in Japan was studied as an issue which covers not just physical violence, but also so-called ‘indirect aggression or violence’. The indirect form of bullying was actually first addressed by Japan as a form of bullying, and because we had a very clear theoretical model, maybe Japan was about ten years ahead in terms of the study into bullying. Prof. Morita, any views on this matter?

MORITA: I think you’ve already covered this question. In Japan, I believe we not only consider the indirect aggression, but we also focused on the impact of such type of aggression on the children. I mentioned earlier that as we live in our society and community, we are developing the sense of coexisting with others, befriending with others and cooperating with others. And I think in the past Japanese had respect for the other members of the community. However, there is now a breakdown in this sense of community and this has led to a sense of urgency.

And also, these tragic incidents are occurring throughout various countries around the world. I believe this connects to the central focal point in terms of development of children’s capacity. So, yes, the Japanese are very enthusiastic about education. It doesn’t just entail entrance examinations, but I think the Japanese people have a very strong awareness of the overall needs for education. And the MEXT, the ministry was able to address these challenges in their activities. So I think these are some of the unique elements here in Japan. So yes, it is true that Japan was quite ahead in terms of carrying out the study into bullying, and that is because Japanese people have higher awareness of the bullying issue.
SHIRAMA: Thank you. Well, while having your comment, there were some elements that I was thinking of asking you in a following comment.

We would like to go back to the title of this symposium. We have various representatives from academia, government and schools. What can all of us do to save the children from the risk of violence in schools? We hope that this symposium can be a catalyst for such activities. And I think we need to wrap up our discussion at this juncture. Can you share with us your thoughts about some potential academic study going forward? In other words, through our academic research, how can we actually prevent bullying in schools? I think through our activities we will be able to identify ways in which we can prevent violence in schools, and I do hope that these thoughts could be reflected in the school environment. And also, we would like to carry out joint activities and joint surveys with various other countries, including Korea. So that is my impression having heard the panellists' comments.

So we'd like to invite each panellist for their final comments as a wrap-up and to finish this panel discussion. So could we invite final comments from each panellist? Prof. Morita, please.

MORITA: There is something called social bond. This means the relationships between people, or between a person and a group of people, or perhaps, between group and group. This is like a string of a social network in our society. I think we need to focus and emphasize this social bond.

Then, what the element of this social bond? Well, we often talk about the attachment between people. As the data from NIER shows, a support and backups from families and teachers, and peer-to-peer backups and supports are really important.

But then we should focus not just on the personal relational aspect; we also have to focus on the linkage with the educational system, a sense of pride belonging to a certain schools, or a sense of attachment to one's own group and systems. I think the lack of or the erosion and the weakening of such bonds leads to problematic behaviours that deviate from the social norms and rules.

This is called ‘social-bond theory’ which originated from the study of juvenile delinquency, and is the idea that the weakening of linkage to social circles including family as well as to social systems leads to anti-social or problematic behaviour. I think this social bond theory can be applied not just to the issue of juvenile delinquency but it also to the problem of bullying as well as school absenteeism and other problematic behaviour.

The next element is what we call the commitment. There are certain roles that a person plays in the social context. This social role is one element to link between the individual and the society. This is the role that not only individual plays but also a group of people or the society or local communities play. And, by playing proper role within the society, you could establish a sense of achievement or generate a certain commitment to the society. You could also have a sense that you are useful to the society, and making a contribution to the society. We're not talking about just fulfilling a role which is imposed on you. For example, if a person is very good at accounting, the third parties must have the expectation that this person is very good at accounting. Therefore, you have the third parties who expect something from you, and that generates a sense that you have to fulfill certain responsibilities. I think this is the important role of commitment.
Another element is involvement. This is not just about the roles or the activities for the future, but is about the activities which are taking place right now and how the person could involve the activities. So, it could be classes at school, for instance, you have to make your classes more interesting and fun, so that children want to get involved in such interesting classes, or may be local cultural activities. Through these activities, children are able to establish a sense of belonging, a sense of connection to the society, to the people, and to their peers, and which in turn, ensuring a sense of belonging to a community or to a society that I have been repeating today.

There is also a need to adhere to certain norms and rules. This is not only rules and regulations, but it also includes basic life styles or learning habits. By acquiring these, children could learn norms, rules and regulations of school, local communities, and the society as a whole. This process can also be considered the linkage to the society, and this can be applied to the group activities at school as the school or the class is created in the same way. Thus, this inter-relationship, the interlink, the support, the differing interests, we have to balance all of these factors, and at the same time, we have to ensure a sense of connection among the children.

There is a question regarding bullying in upper-secondary schools, in particular, in their after-school-club-activities. Now this of course is a serious issue. But then this entails not just bullying but also criminal activities and juvenile delinquencies. Both in Japan and in the United States, the peak criminal rate is seen at the age between 14 and 15, and then it starts to come down after that age. But in Japan, up until now, after the age of 14 and 15, the criminal rate would decline rather rapidly, while in the United States, the drop is much more gradual. However, in Japan today, according to the data from National Research Institute of Police Science, we find that the level of the drop in the crime rate is now beginning to be more comparable to that in the United States. This means that older age group of juvenile delinquents are actually expanding. The most of these children are what we call NEET (Not in Education, Employment or Training), or part-time workers who often have very little, if any, connections to the society. There are children who do not want to attend schools or do not care about schools, and even their parents may have negative attitudes towards schools or local communities, and refuse to have any connections to the society. These people are actually expanding in our society.

So, it's not just that our society is losing its sense of community, but I think individuals are beginning to lose a sense of connection, a sense of useful connection to the community. In the past we had this linkage, the bond to the society, but that is no longer the case. I think we need to allow people to find a sense of purpose, motivation, and fulfilment in a given in a given group or a society or the school. How do we create such links and connections? The schools must be able to absorb and attract the children with its environments, but we must not use chains to force them to attend schools, but we must be able to install a sense of purpose to the children. The schools must equip the children with such capacity and ability. This is not just a problem relating to the schools, but it is an issue that we, all the groups and people in the society here in Japan faced with. So for that, we need to enhance social bonds, and to identify ways in which this could be achieved. Having seen the data we have today, that message becomes very clear.

SHIRAMA: Thank you very much. You talked about the social bonds. Mr. Imaizumi, would you like to respond?
IMAIZUMI: Yes, as we cooperate with Prof. Morita for the committee of the MEXT, his idea of social bonds has been reflected in our policies at the government. Now we have received some comments from members of the audience. There were two comments. One is that homeroom teachers must be dignified and must have strong resolve in addressing these issues. And the second comment is that bullying is simply a reflection of what goes on in adult society, and so the issues must be resolved firstly in adult society.

I totally agree to the comment that the bullying issue is simply a reflection of what goes on in the society of adults. The problematic behaviour including bullying reflects various social factors and variables. For example, social bonds are being lost, as was pointed out by Prof. Morita. I believe all of these problems that we talked about today have become very clear through our children. But these issues cannot be resolved at schools alone. It is not something that the educational system alone can address. For example, according to the report from the Research and Training Institute of the Ministry of Justice, about 73% of children in reformatory have an experience of abuse. It cannot necessarily be said that having received the domestic violence and abuse directly leads to violence, however, those with problematic behaviours do often have an abusive background. So, this chain or the linkage of violence must be disconnected, and in order to do so, we have to have a very strong educational system. But then there are elements which could not be covered by the education.

Then, the question is what we should do next within what we can do. I think as a countermeasure, we need to focus more preventive measures. We need to make sure that all children will not become onlookers or aggressors or victims in the first place, and for that we need encouragement at schools as well as within the family environment.

It is also important to consider the questions such as 1) if unfortunately the problems occur, how we should invent such problems; 2) how can teachers and parents identify the change in the behaviour of their children?; 3) as we need skills to identify those changes, how can we equip ourselves with such skills?; 4) as we cannot leave the problem and let it evolve into more serious problems, what can we do as a way of assessment at the school environment?; and 5) how could we approach the aggressors and the victims, and what are the roles to be played by the school counsellors, related institutions, and school nurses?

And also, on a daily basis we need to address these problematic behaviours with such skills and techniques, and in the course of such daily instructions, I think the homeroom teacher, plays a very critical role. But again, classroom teachers alone cannot always resolve these problems. The more serious the problem is, the more difficult for teachers to solve it alone as it simply goes beyond the ability of the school teacher. In such cases, we need to think how we should normalize the situation. For aggressors, being banned from attending schools could be one measure, and for victims, providing thorough protections by changing the class assignments, or in the worst case, encouraging transferring to another school could be considered. In other words, we need to ensure to protect our children at all costs.

Furthermore, as our goal is to nurture children, including both bullies and victims, so that eventually they could become self-sufficient, independent adults, we need to conduct the prognosis guidance for recovery guidance. For the aggressors, I think we need to provide training support so that they could actually become self-sufficient, and for those children who refuse to come to school because of the bullying issues,
again we need to take separate measures to address their problems.

What we want to see is that the children eventually grow to being self-independent adults. We need to give thought to all of these issues. What I'm trying to say is that we need synthetic guidance including prevention, urgent intervention, and after care, and for those that education can do, we should use the advantages of schools that I talked about earlier. For those that cannot be solved by education alone, we need to cooperate with police, child-consultation centre and children's welfare centre, or with people with medical care centre such as psychiatrist and psychotherapists.

Thank you. That is all.

SHIRAMA: Thank you. I'm afraid we have gone beyond the time. Prof. Kwak? You have talked about the study you carried out in Korea as well as intervention programs and the governmental situation for the issue in Korea. You have heard various comments on the issue of bullying so far, can you share with us your final comments as to the impression of today's symposium? Thank you.

KWAK: Thank you. I would like to say one thing. My impression is that from the comparative studies we found some similarities as well as some differences. As these results are such academically important results, the next time, we have to try to reflect those results to the policy making or to help children in the school. We have to try to do that in the near future. That's all. Thank you.

SHIRAMA: Thank you very much. We would like to have one word of summary from Mr. Taki, and to ask Prof. Morita to give us concluding remarks.

TAKI: The study results presented today is very wide-ranging and it may be somewhat distant to many of the members in the audience. But our mission is to provide information that is useful to policy-making in MEXT, and at the same time, in order for MEXT policies to function very well, we have the task of assisting and suggesting to MEXT. There are various programs introduced by MEXT, for example, hands-on experience in nature. If we conduct such programs, will there be an effect immediately? Well, not necessarily. Programs have to be implemented and some creative ideas will be necessary for these programs to be truly effective. We therefore not only conduct this research which is very academic, but we also do something in between linking academic research results and what is done in the actual environment of schools. But I hope I will be able to discuss that linking aspect on another opportunity.

SHIRAMA: Prof. Morita, Please?

MORITA: The symposium today is co-organized by MEXT and NIER, and as Mr. Taki pointed out, there are tasks of NIER. Now the government starts to take the evidence-based policy, the policies developed based on evidence. This is in fact the world-wide trend these days. In that sense I think today's symposium was very useful and significant, and the co-operation and co-organization of the Ministry and the National Institute on Educational Policy Research is very meaningful. And what is important is the cooperation of researchers like myself and national institute like NIER, and governmental administration like MEXT. The three parties should be working together for children in schools, and we should try to use validated data as long as possible. We may not have data 100 per cent of the time but it should be evidence-based when we try to develop policies and prevention and intervention measures. In that sense, I
think this symposium was very important.

**SHIRAMA:** I was wondering how I can summarize today's symposium, but Prof. Morita did a wonderful job of summarizing. As we have gone beyond the scheduled time, I would like to thank all of the participants and I hope that today's symposium has been informative to participants. So that gives us a great sense of pleasure. Thank you very much for staying with us. This concludes today's panel discussion.

**MC:** Thank you very much, Mr. Shirama. Prof. Kwak, Prof. Morita, Mr. Imaizumi, thank you very much. This concludes all of the sessions in today's program. Thank you very much for your kind patience over a very long period of time. As for information material today, there are some extra copies. If you wish to take home an extra copy, please come to reception.

As for simultaneous interpretation receivers and questionnaires, please return the receivers and questionnaires as you exit this hall. There will be staff who will be receiving the interpretation receivers and questionnaires. You may forget that you have an interpretation receiver in your breast pocket. Please make sure to return the receiver. Thank you very much.
Afterword
When people heard about the international symposium on bullying and other problematic behaviour, what contents people would expect may vary depending on the person. Although there may be a common interest in information about overseas' countries which difficult to find in Japan, some would expect more academic side of information to enhance their knowledge and education, while some would expect more practical side of information that could help to solve the problem they are facing with in a daily life.

Further, even among those who long to have practical information, there may be various different reasons. For example, there may be school teachers who wish to learn the latest techniques and skills to tackle the problem, or those from education centres who wish to learn various different intervention programs proved to be effective in overseas, or may be people from the local educational boards who wish to learn policies and educational lows, or school counsellors who wish to learn the roles of school counsellors in relation to bullying problem, or there may be people who wish to know how they could protect their own child from the risk of bullying. In other words, according to their standpoints, or the problems they are facing with, their expectation towards our symposium greatly varies.

One of the major concerns for us to organise this symposium was, therefore, within the limited time schedule, to where we should put our focus on so as to make this opportunity the most meaningful and significant international symposium. As this is the second international symposium for both the NIER and the MEXT (the former National Institute for Educational Research and the former Ministry of Education respectively), both of which organised and co-sponsored the International Symposium on Bullying 10 years ago, there must be a high expectation towards our tasks to look back on the trend of the last 10 years and at the same time, to show the clear indication of the directions for next 10 years.

Thus, we decided the basic rules that we will not make this symposium a stage-like where the situation in overseas are introduced one by one. You may probably find this as little strange, but there are two major reasons for this.

Firstly, in the international symposium like this where people talk to each other through interpreter, it is extremely difficult to communicate the situation in overseas accurately to one another, especially within only a limited time schedule. Disseminating and exchanging information of overseas will not be worth doing unless you understand firstly the similarities and differences in its educational and social systems, cultures and norms that the information is based on, and secondly the limitations in terms of both the contents and the rage of information you can compare. Even after you understand all of these background factors, you should carefully choose the correct terminology to communicate one another. Even in an international conference where participants are expected to share the basic knowledge and information about the topic, it is not rare for participants to develop irrelevant discussion or for audiences to take information without realising their own misunderstanding. There must be higher risk of such misunderstanding in this particular symposium as we expected much wider range of audiences. If you expect too
much, as much information as possible from as many countries as possible, for instance, it would just end up with higher risk of misunderstanding and miscommunication.

The second reason was the fact that bullying research in Japan is going ahead of those overseas’ countries, and it is rather difficult to expect the latest information from them. We have had more than 20 years of research history on bullying, and we even held the first national level international symposium on bullying 10 years ago. Since then, while taking the international knowledge and experiences into consideration, we have been tackling to the issue of bullying for decades. Thus, what we should do at this point is to actively communicate our knowledge and experiences to overseas, and to accept the responses, and to develop the directions for better and more effective measures. We should not passively expect for overseas’ countries to give us information, otherwise there would be very little chance for us to develop appropriate directions.

Thus, in this international symposium, we decided to put the cross-national study, which developed on the basis of our accumulation of data and organised with our initiatives, centre of the symposium, and to exchange information on the basis of this cross-national study. We believed that we can exchange information more accurately with the data from which the possibility of comparison is guaranteed, in comparison to the exchange of free opinions without restriction.

As I was noted in the symposium, the NIER has been developed three practical researches, which was started by the influence of researches in overseas. Firstly, the intervention programs which can be implemented at school, and secondly, the program which aims to prevent bullying behaviour by developing children’s sociality, and finally the questionnaire which aims to detect and analyse the background causes of bullying. As a result of these practical researches, we developed ‘P.E.C.E. Method’, ‘Japanese Peer Support Program’, and ‘Stress Check List’ all of which were reported in the international forum of students’ guidance organised by the NIER (Note: the contents of this forum can be found in “Better schooling by teachers, children, and local community - Promotion of Preventive Education -, Guidance and Counselling Research Centre, NIER).

We have simultaneously been conducting the 6-year-follow-up longitudinal study that cannot be found in any other countries. This very distinctive 6-year-longitudinal study was planned and conducted aiming to scientifically prove the very authentic notion that ‘serious bullying can happen to any school, to any class, to any children’ appealed by the MEXT, and at the same time, to find out the background causes that make ordinal children conduct bullying behaviour.

As we were able to draw a picture of the situation in Japan through this 6-year longitudinal study, and at the same time, we proved the correctness of the notion that serious bullying can happen to any school, to any class and to any child, the next step for us was to conduct cross-national comparative study with our initiatives. If our basic understanding on bullying was something very unique to Japan, we should warn people who try to import the policies and intervention programs from overseas without full consideration. However, on the other hand, if our basic understanding of the issue could apply to the situation in other countries, we could deepen the exchange with such overseas countries, referring their efforts and policies, and at the same time, we could play a role to actively communicate our policies and knowledge to the world. If there are both similarities and differences, we should clarify what we can share with and
what we cannot.

One of the biggest aims of this international symposium was to report the process of this series of researches and its findings, and to make an opportunity for people in administration, schools, or academics to think seriously together from their own standpoints about what we can do to save children from the risk of bullying and violence. This is the reason why we had a rather different symposium this time compared to ordinal international symposium which try to introduce the efforts in overseas one by one.

Though, it is rather difficult for us to complete our aims just for a half-day symposium, this report is issued to fill the gaps in. We hope more people who were not able to attend the symposium can use the results and findings of the NIER.
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**Items of the Questionnaire**

#### The Definition of Ijime

**Definition by Yohji Morita**

**Definition by Dan Olweus**

**Definition for NIER Survey**

**Definition for children in the Questionnaire for NIER Survey**

#### Items of the Questionnaire

| Bullying Scale | can’t understand my lessons  
can’t understand my lessons  
get low test results |
|----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| by excluding, ignoring you *  
by teasing, calling names *  
by pushing, hitting(jokingly) **  
by pushing, hitting(on purpose)  
by taking and damaging your property  
by using computer, email  
* & ** for AMOS | Source of Stress Scale: Teacher  
teachers tell me off  
teachers take a personal interest*  
teachers don’t treat me fairly |
|                 | Source of Stress Scale: Peer  
put me down because of my school marks  
put me down because of the way I look  
classmates call me names |
|                 | Source of Stress Scale: Family  
importance is put on doing well at school  
get nagged in my family  
my family expects too much |
|                 | Social Support Scale: Teacher  
If I left out I am encouraged  
If I express I am listened try to understand me |
|                 | Social Support Scale: Peer  
If I left out I am encouraged  
If I express I am listened try to understand me |
|                 | Social Support Scale: Family  
If I left out I am encouraged  
If I express I am listened try to understand me |
| Symptons of Stress Scale | feel sick and tired  
worry about things  
get irritated  
don’t have much energy  
get angry easily  
don’t feel interested  
get headaches  
get depressed  
feel like shouting  
can’t concentrate on school work |
The Definition of Ijime

Definition by Yohji Morita
‘A type of aggressive behaviour by (which) someone who holds a dominant position in a group-interaction process, by intentional or collective acts, causes mental and/or physical suffering to another inside a group.’ (Morita 1985)

Definition by Dan Olweus
‘A student is being bullied or victimized when he or she is exposed, repeatedly and over time, to negative actions on the part of one or more other students.’
‘It is a negative action when someone intentionally inflicts, or attempts to inflict, injury or discomfort upon another - basically what is implied in the definition of aggressive behaviour’
‘In order to use the term bullying, there should be an imbalance in strength (an asymmetric power relationship).’ (Olweus 1993)

Definition for NIER Survey
‘Ijime bullying’ is mean behaviour or a negative attitude that has clear intention to embarrass or humiliate others who occupy weaker positions in a same group. It is assumed to be a dynamic used to keep or recover one’s dignity by aggrieving others. Consequently, its main purpose is to inflict mental suffering on others, regardless of the form such as physical, verbal, psychological and social.’
The three conditions for serious ‘Ijime bullying’: ‘membership’, ‘use the power of exchangeable status’, ‘frequency of victimization’

Definition for children in the Questionnaire for NIER Survey
Students can be very mean to one another at school. Mean and negative behaviour can be especially upsetting and embarrassing when it happens over and over again, either by one person or by many different people in the group. We want to know about times when students use mean behaviour and take advantage of other students who cannot defend themselves easily.